

GasNet Limited

Transitional Asset Management Plan 2013-2023

Pursuant to clause 2.12.8(2) of the Gas Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012 under Part 4 of the Commerce Act 1986

Version Control

| Version | Date | Summary of Changes |
|---------|------------------|---|
| 1.0 | 1 July 2013 | First Issue |
| 1.1 | 18 December 2013 | Appendix 3.1 Schedule 11b replaced with updated version (page 48) following discovery of errors in the original version (page 47). Further information available in Box 12 of Schedule 14 in GasNet's 2013 Disclosures pursuant to the Gas Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012 |
| | | |
| | | |

| Document No: Document Name: | | Effective from: | 1 July 2013 | Version: 1.1 |
|-----------------------------|--|------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| GNZ-012 | Transitional Asset Management Plan (AMP) | ement Plan (AMP) | | |
| Responsible Manager: | | A | 40 1.1 | Dama 4 of 64 |
| General Manager | | Approved by Directors: | 18 July 2013 | Page 1 of 61 |

Table of Contents

General Manager

| 1.0 | EXE | CUTIVE SUMMARY | | | 4 |
|-------|---------|--|--------------------------|-------------|--------------|
| | 1.1 | Introduction | | | 4 |
| | 1.2 | Reference to AMP | | | 4 |
| 2.0 | BA | CKGROUND AND OBJECTIVES | | | 4 |
| | 2.1 | Company Background | | | |
| | 2.2 | Gas Distribution Business | | | 5 |
| | 2.3 | Details on AMP Planning Period | | | 5 |
| | 2.4 | Date Approved by Directors | | | 5 |
| | 2.5 | Stakeholder Interests | | | 5 |
| | 2.6 | Organisational Structure | | | 6 |
| | 2.7 | Asset Management Accountabilities and Responsibilitie | S | | 7 |
| | 2.8 | Asset Management Policy | | | 7 |
| | 2.9 | Strategy and Delivery | | | 8 |
| | 2.10 | Overview of Systems and Data | | | 8 |
| | 2.11 | Overview of Asset Management Documentation, Control | ols and Review Processes | ; | 9 |
| 3.0 | ovi | ERVIEW OF ASSETS | | | |
| 0.0 | 31 | Gas Distribution Networks | | | 10 |
| | 0.7 | 3.1.1 Networks | | | |
| | | 3.1.2 Network Assets | | | |
| | | 3.1.3 Physical Statistics | | | |
| | | 3.1.4 Asset Age Profiles | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 4.0 | AS | SETS COVERED | | ••••• | 14 |
| | 4.1 | Wanganui Network | | | |
| | | 4.1.1 Intermediate Pressure (IP) System | | | |
| | | 4.1.2 Medium Pressure (MP) System | | | |
| | 10 | 4.1.3 LOW Pressure (LP) System | | | |
| | 4.2 | A 2.1 Intermediate Process (ID) System | | | 22 22 |
| | | 4.2.1 Intermediate Pressure (IP) System | | | |
| | 12 | 4.2.2 Medium Flessure (MF) System | | | 20 |
| | 4.5 | A 3.1 Medium Pressure (MP) System | | | 20 26 |
| | 44 | Flockhouse Network | | | 20 |
| | 7.7 | 4.4.1 Medium Pressure (MP) System | | | |
| | 45 | Waitotara Network | | | |
| | | 4.5.1 Medium Pressure (MP) System | | | |
| | ~ | | | | |
| 5.0 | SEI | | | | |
| | 5.1 | Key Performance Indicators (KPI's) | | | |
| | 5.2 | Quality of Supply | | | |
| | 5.3 | Other reliability, Safety and Environment | | | |
| 6.0 | NE | WORK DEVELOPMENT PLANNING | | | 34 |
| | 6.1 | General | | | |
| | 6.2 | System Growth | | | 34 |
| | 6.3 | Identified Material Network Development Programmes | | | 35 |
| | | 6.3.1 Network | | | 35 |
| | | 6.3.2 Non-network | | | 35 |
| | 6.4 | Identified Material Network Development Projects | | | 35 |
| | | 6.4.1 Network | | | 35 |
| | | 6.4.2 Non-network | | | 35 |
| 7.0 | LIF | ECYCLE ASSET MANAGEMENT PLANNING (| MAINTENANCE AND | RENEWAI |) |
| | 71 | General | | | -, |
| | 72 | Asset Replacement and Renewal | | | |
| | 7.3 | Identified Material Lifecvcle Asset Management Progra | mmes | | |
| | | 7.3.1 Network | | | |
| | | 7.3.2 Non-Network | | | |
| | 7.4 | Identified Material Lifecycle Asset Management Project | S | | |
| | | | | | |
| Docu | ment No | b: Document Name: | Effective from: | 1 July 2013 | Version: 1.1 |
| GNZ | 012 | Transitional Asset Management Plan (AMP) | | , 2010 | |
| Respo | onsible | Manager: | • · · · • • • • | | |

Approved by Directors: 18 July 2013 Page 2 of 61

| | | 7.4.1 7.4.2 | Network Non-network | 37 37 |
|------|-------|----------------|---|----------|
| 8.0 | RIS | к ма | NAGEMENT | 38 |
| | 8.1 | Over | view | |
| | 8.2 | Hazai | rd Identification | 38 |
| | 8.3 | Risk A | Assessment | 38 |
| | 8.4 | Hazai | rd Control | 38 |
| | 8.5 | Cove | rage | 38 |
| | 8.6 | Revie | 9W | 38 |
| | 8.7 | Inforn | nation Availability | 39 |
| | 8.8 | Some | e Specific Observations | 39 |
| 9.0 | AC | TIONS | S COMPLETED | 39 |
| 10.0 | IMP | ROVE | EMENT PLAN | 39 |
| Арре | endix | k 1 – C | Glossary of Terms | 40 |
| Арре | endix | k 2 – T | Fransitional AMP Provisions Applicable to GasNet Limited | 41 |
| Арре | endix | x 3.1 - | - GDB AMP Information Disclosure Schedules 11-13 | 42 |
| Арре | endix | x 3.2 - | - Schedule 14a: Mandatory Explanatory Notes on Forecast Information | 59 |
| Арре | endix | x 3.3 - | - Schedule 15: Voluntary Explanatory Notes | 60 |
| Арре | endix | k 4 – S | Schedule 17: Certification for Transitional Asset Management Plan | 61 |

Disclaimer:

This Transitional Asset Management Plan (AMP) has been prepared and disclosed in accordance with the Gas Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012.

The information in this document has been prepared in good faith and represents GasNet Limited's (GasNet) intentions and opinions at the date of issue.

GasNet does not give any assurance, either express or implied, about the accuracy of the information or whether GasNet will implement the plan or undertake any work mentioned in the document.

None of GasNet Limited, its directors, officers, shareholder or representatives accepts any liability whatsoever by reason of, or in connection with, any information in this document or any actual or purported reliance on it by any person.

GasNet may change any information in this document at any time.

| Document No: | Document Name: | Effective from: 1 July 2013 Ver | | Version: 1.1 |
|----------------------|--|---------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| GNZ-012 | Transitional Asset Management Plan (AMP) | | | |
| Responsible Manager: | | Approved by Directore | 10 101/ 2012 | Daga 2 of 61 |
| General Manager | | Approved by Directors. | 18 July 2013 | Fage 5 01 01 |

1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1.1 Introduction

The objective of this Transitional Asset Management Plan (AMP) is to outline the asset management practices and strategies used to manage the assets of GasNet Limited (GasNet) and to provide such information pursuant to the transitional provisions provided for GasNet under clause 2.12.8 the Gas Distribution Business Information Disclosure Determination 2012.

This AMP, being the first produced by GasNet and prepared under transitional provisions, has been prepared to satisfy the new regulatory requirements as a minimum, and as such does not necessarily provide the comprehensive information typically found in mature Asset Management Plans. Following the approval and publication of this AMP GasNet will continue to build on this platform through accelerated continual improvement of its asset management practices and documentation, evidenced through subsequent AMP publications until ultimately meeting the requirements of a fully compliant Asset Management Plan by 30 September 2017 being the end of the first regulatory period.

GasNet has a long history of in-practice asset management with limited longer term planning processes. It has operated asset management practices that while effective, comprise a combination of formal and informal documentation. GasNet is however in a relatively unique position in that because there has been very low personnel turn-over, it has a wealth of very long term engineering and operational experience within personnel reaching back some 30 years. GasNet has effectively managed network assets in accordance with gas industry standards, good practice and procedures, and reported compliance over an extensive period of time.

Whilst GasNet has long since recognised the value to its business of formalising and collating its asset management strategies, policies, and procedures through the development of a single AMP document, it has been reluctant during an extensive period of regulatory uncertainty over the last 5-6 years, to commit the significant labour and financial resources to develop an AMP until the specific regulatory requirements were known.

The Commerce Commission recognised that GasNet had not historically been required under information disclosure regulation to publicly disclose an Asset Management Plan, and consequently made additional transitional provisions within its Gas Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012 (IDD) that allowed GasNet to elect to publicly disclose a Transitional Asset Management Plan as an alternative to a fully compliant Asset Management Plan.

In consideration of the resourcing needs to develop its first Asset Management Plan and that the requirement to do so was only one of many new regulatory compliance requirements that GasNet needed to meet in 2013 (including the unrelated certification of its Safety Management System for Public Safety), GasNet elected to adopt the transitional provisions and deliver this Transitional Asset Management Plan (AMP)

Therefore in accordance with the transitional provisions specified under clause 2.12.8 of the IDD and copied to Appendix 1, the information contained within this AMP has been provided to satisfy the following:

- Minimum Requirements (IDD clause 2.12.8(2)(c));
- Forecast Information (IDD clause 2.12.8(2)(d));
- Report on Asset Management Maturity (IDD clause 2.12.8(2)(e));
- Identified non-conformances and intended actions to make compliant by end of first DPP regulatory period (IDD clause 2.12.8(2)(f)); and,
- Identified actions taken to achieve conformances with the requirements of a fully compliant Asset Management Plan (IDD clause 2.12.8(2)(g)).

1.2 Reference to AMP

For ease of reference this Transitional Asset Management Plan is referred to throughout this document as an AMP. However it must be noted that whilst referred as such it does not imply, nor is it promoted to be, a fully functional and informative Asset Management Plan. It has been prepared to comply with the transitional provisions provided for GasNet under the Gas Distribution Business Information Disclosure Determination 2012 and not necessarily to comply with the International Infrastructure Management Manual (IIMM), PAS-55, or any other applicable standard.

2.0 BACKGROUND AND OBJECTIVES

2.1 Company Background

GasNet is 100% owned by Wanganui Gas Limited which is itself owned by Wanganui District Council Holdings Limited, a Wanganui District Council "Council Controlled Trading Organisation". GasNet commenced trading on 1 July 2008 after purchasing the network (and metering) business from Wanganui Gas Limited. Previously GasNet had been operating as an independent trading division of Wanganui Gas Limited with responsibility for managing the network (and metering) assets for the company.

| | Document No: Document Name: | | Effective from: | 4 1-1-1-0040 | Versien: 4.4 |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|--|------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| | GNZ-012 | Transitional Asset Management Plan (AMP) | Ellective nom. | T July 2013 | version. 1.1 |
| Responsible Manager: | | Annewed by Directory | 10 100 2012 | | |
| | General Manager | | Approved by Directors: | 18 July 2013 | Page 4 of 61 |

GasNet's origins go back to the late 19th century when in 1879 Wanganui Gas Company Limited was formed as a private enterprise to reticulate manufactured gas within the city of Wanganui. All networks owned and operated by GasNet have been constructed to natural gas standards since 1970.

2.2 Gas Distribution Business

GasNet owns and operates five natural gas distribution networks in the Wanganui, Rangitikei and South Taranaki regions in the North Island of New Zealand.

In accordance with the Gas Act 1992, GasNet is defined as a "Gas Distributor" and under the IDD is a Gas Distribution Business (GDB).

2.3 Details on AMP Planning Period

The AMP planning period is 1 July 2013 to 30 June 2023.

2.4 Date Approved by Directors

GasNet's Board of Directors formally approved this AMP on 18 July 2013.

Whilst this date is after the 1 July 2013 deadline specified under the IDD GasNet was granted an extension by the Commerce Commission on 26 June 2013 to complete and publish its AMP by 18 July 2013.

2.5 Stakeholder Interests

Stakeholder interests are considered within GasNet's asset management practices and whilst they may not always be in alignment, the desire to provide a reliable gas supply is generally common to all.

GasNet's asset management practices implicitly acknowledge the diversity of interests and their frequency of occurrence. They are reviewed and modified over time in response to feedback from stakeholders, change in legal and/or regulatory requirements, and identified organisational practice improvement.

It is recognised that on occasion stakeholder interests either are or appear to be in conflict. GasNet welcomes the opportunity to discuss with the stakeholder any situations where interests may conflict, and will at all times look for outcomes that are mutually acceptable. Where such outcomes are not possible, GasNet offers a "Feedback & Complaints" process that provides for investigation of the reported issue within a defined process and timeframe. In the event that a satisfactory solution cannot be agreed upon, then either party may refer the dispute to the Electricity and Gas Complaints Commission.

Whilst the occurrence of conflict with, or between, the needs of stakeholders seldom occurs, GasNet will apply the following considerations in resolving conflict:

- Safety of people and property
- Reliability of the gas supply
- Compliance with the law, industry standards and codes
- Fairness and equity to all parties
- Regulatory compliance

GasNet has identified the following stakeholders as having an interest in how GasNet manages its gas distribution assets:

| Stakeholder | Interest |
|--|---|
| District and Regional Councils | Minimising environmental impacts, local economic development and in the control of and access to assets in the road corridor. |
| Economic Regulator (Commerce Commission) | Statutory obligations, economic efficiency, compliance and public disclosure of this AMP |
| Electricity and Gas Complaints Commissioner | Compliance with the Electricity and Gas Complaints Scheme. |
| Emergency Services and Civil Defence | Safety of public and property, preparedness for emergency events |
| Gas Consumers | Delivery of a safe, reliable, efficient and sustainable supply of gas at minimum cost. |
| Gas Retailers | Delivery of a safe, reliable, efficient and sustainable supply of gas at minimum cost. |
| GasNet Board of Directors | GasNet's performance in relation to its statutory obligations and their responsibilities as the governing body of the Company on behalf of the shareholder. |

Table 1 Stakeholders

| Document No: | Document Name: | Effective from: 1 July 2013 | | Version: 1.1 |
|----------------------|--|-----------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| GNZ-012 | Transitional Asset Management Plan (AMP) | | | |
| Responsible Manager: | | Approved by Directory | 18 July 2012 | Page 5 of 61 |
| General Manager | | Approved by Directors. | 18 July 2013 | Fage 5 01 01 |

| GasNet Employees | Implement GasNet's policies and procedures to maximise the utilisation and performance of its assets. |
|---|---|
| GMS owners | Provision of gas supply from the outlet of the gas network that meets agreed performance criteria |
| Industry Regulators (Ministry of Economic Development and Gas Industry Company) | Statutory obligations, economic efficiency, safety of employees and the public, industry best practise |
| Insurers | GasNet responsibly manages its assets and risks |
| KiwiRail | Control and access to assets in the rail corridor |
| Landowners | Landowners with GasNet assets on their property have interests in safety, easements, access requirements and property maintenance. |
| NZTA (NZ Transport Agency) | Control and access to assets in the State Highway road corridor. |
| Property developers | Connection policies and costs are fair and that network extensions' plans work within their needs. |
| Public | Safety and information |
| Service Providers and Contractors | Support services |
| Shareholder | Achievement of an adequate return on investment being a good corporate citizen. |
| Transmission Company (Vector Limited) | To deliver gas to each of the five Sales Gates that meets the gas specification and is odorised. |
| Utility infrastructure asset owners | Identification of assets for both maintenance and development works, and to ensure that assets owned by GasNet and other asset owners that are in proximity are managed with the knowledge and presence of the other. |

GasNet engages with its stakeholders by a wide range of methods, both planned and ad hoc. One key area of interest on which GasNet has not proactively sought feedback, is with its consumers. Whilst GasNet has regular contact with consumers, for a variety of reasons in the course of operating and maintaining its assets, it has not actively pursued contact, leaving this to the consumer's retailer who in most instances insists on managing the relationship with their customer. With requirements under the new regulatory regime to provide more information to consumers and in recognising the potential value from proactively engagement, GasNet plans to work with the retailers on how GasNet goes about engaging with the consumers to achieve the desired outcome without overly burdening or confusing them.

2.6 Organisational Structure

GasNet's organisational structure is as shown below.



| Document No: | Document No: Document Name: | | 1 July 2012 | Vorsion: 1.1 |
|----------------------|--|------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| GNZ-012 | Transitional Asset Management Plan (AMP) | Lifective nom. | 1 July 2013 | Version. 1.1 |
| Responsible Manager: | | Annuoved by Directory | 10 1010 2012 | Dama C of C1 |
| General Manager | | Approved by Directors: | 18 July 2013 | Page 6 of 61 |

2.7 Asset Management Accountabilities and Responsibilities

The asset management accountabilities and responsibilities for the key roles within GasNet are as follows:

| Table 2: | Accountabilities | and Res | ponsibilities |
|----------|------------------|---------|---------------|
|----------|------------------|---------|---------------|

| Role | Accountabilities and Responsibilities |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| Board of Directors | Accountable for the overall corporate governance of GasNet and to the shareholder for their actions. The governance role includes the setting of the Company's strategic direction. |
| | The Board reviews and approves the following asset management processes and plans: Strategic Plan; This Transitional Asset Management Plan; Annual operating and capital expenditure budgets; |
| | Delegated financial authorities for GasNet management and other employees; Major projects; Risk Management Plan |
| | Interim and Annual Reports; Disclosure documents. |
| | The Board approves any operating expenditure purchase in excess of \$50,000 and capital expenditure purchase in excess of \$25,000. |
| General Manager | Accountable to the Board of Directors for recommending and implementing the strategic direction and for managing the day-to-day operations of GasNet. |
| Engineering Manager | Responsible to the General manager for ensuring that the gas distribution (network and measurement) systems are designed, constructed, operated and maintained to ensure the safe, reliable and efficient transportation of gas through its systems. The Engineering Manager is also the person responsible for the Public Safety Management System under GasNet's NZS7901 certification. |
| Engineer | Responsible to the Engineering Manager for the technical, planning & operational requirements associated with the design, construction, operation and maintenance of GasNet's gas distribution (network and GMS) system assets. |
| Engineering Supervisor | Responsible to the Engineering Manager for overseeing the construction, operation and maintenance of new and existing assets, and for the day to day management of employees, contractors and other service providers working on the assets. |
| Asset Information Services Manager | Responsible to the General Manager for managing the records and systems associated with GasNet's network assets. |
| Finance & Administration Manager | Responsible to the General Manager for financial, administration and inventory functions of the company. |
| Technicians | Responsible to the Engineering Supervisor for completing the day to day construction, operation and maintenance activities on GasNet's gas distribution (network and GMS) system assets. |

2.8 Asset Management Policy

GasNet's Asset Management Policy was first developed and approved when GasNet was a trading division of Wanganui Gas Limited. It was specifically developed to drive the asset management system for the Company's infrastructure assets providing the framework under which the assets would be managed. The policy, which has not been reviewed since GasNet purchased the assets from Wanganui Gas Limited in 2008, was scheduled for review following publication of the IDD in October 2012, but implementation of the Public Safety Management System required under changes to the Gas Regulations and other disclosure requirements under the IDD have prevented the task from being undertaken.

Whilst the policy is robust and functional, it nevertheless requires review in light of the new regulatory requirements and the transitional environment under which GasNet has chosen to develop its Asset Management Plan.

In addition to formalising GasNet's adoption of the International Infrastructure Management Manual (IIMM) the policy captures the complete life cycle approach embraced by the Company as follows;

"To manage the Company's infrastructure assets in a manner that will provide a reasonable financial return for shareholders, while providing efficient levels of service through the creation, acquisition, maintenance, operation, rehabilitation and disposal of infrastructure assets in meeting the present and future needs of our customers."

The policy is now rescheduled for review in the last quarter of 2013 at which stage consideration will be given to whether GasNet's adoption of IIMM is still appropriate or whether it should adopt PAS-55 or another accepted asset management standard, in addition to alignment with the IDD regulatory requirements. Consideration will also be given to integration of the asset management framework with the recently certified Public Safety Management System, much of the latter having been developed with the intention that it would be integrated within the all-encompassing framework.

| Document No: | Document Name: | Effective from | 4 1.1.1.0040 | Vanalana 4.4 |
|----------------|--|------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| GNZ-012 | Transitional Asset Management Plan (AMP) | Ellective from. | T July 2013 | version. 1.1 |
| Responsible Ma | nager: | Annuoved by Directory | 10 100 2012 | Dama Z of 61 |
| General Manag | jer | Approved by Directors: | 18 July 2013 | Page / of 61 |

2.9 Strategy and Delivery

Whilst GasNet has yet to develop a formal documented AMP Strategy the absence of such a document should not reflect on the lack of strategic direction, with tangible evidence throughout the organisation and its documentation. There are many examples of strategies both past and present that GasNet has implemented (of which some of the present are described in this AMP), typically spanning a number of years that relate to the strategic management of its network assets.

As an example, the most recent and significant strategy which has only just been completed, was the decision in 2005 to relocate all LP service pipes where the GMS was inside the property. Dating back to the manufactured gas era it was typical for services to be installed under the house to a meter position in a cupboard, usually in the kitchen, hallway or porch. GasNet having undertaken a risk analysis at the time, identified that these metallic low pressure services posed the greatest risk and opportunity for gas to enter buildings, especially as many of these pipes were bare steel and laid either on or just under the ground. The strategy was approved by the Board of Directors and recognising the nature of the issue took active interest in seeing it through to its conclusion. Since 2005, 639 services have been relocated such that no part of the service pipe passes under the building line.

GasNet recognises the value and benefit in centralising its strategies into one document and plans to complete this task in parallel with the review of its Asset Management Policy in the last quarter of 2013.

2.10 Overview of Systems and Data

GasNet's information systems are extensive both in terms of hardware and software applications.

Each GasNet employee is assigned a PC; a desktop for office based personnel and either a tablet or notebook device with remote access for field based personnel, all connected to GasNet's IT network which is provided under a Service Level Agreement (SLA) with the Wanganui District Council (WDC). Under the terms of the SLA the WDC provide the following hardware and software support services:

Hardware Support

- All infrastructure hardware up to and including the hub at the GasNet building
- Data storage and retrieval
- Printing to network printers
- Internal and external email access
- Internet access
- Data and file access security
- Physical server and data security
- Network infrastructure maintenance
- File and data backup and recovery
- VPN access for remote working

Software Support

- Microsoft suite of applications (Windows, Office Suite, Project, Visio, Internet Explorer, Publisher)
- Finance One
- ANZ Online Banking (software)
- Payglobal
- Web Marshal
- Virus protection

The WDC has provided IT network services to GasNet, and its predecessor and now shareholder Wanganui Gas Limited, for decades in a mutually beneficial arrangement, with the WDC having an interest in the Company as the "ultimate owner" and GasNet's need for IT services. The arrangement is a good fit for GasNet and provides access to services it may otherwise be unable to obtain, or that may not be cost effective for a smaller operation such as GasNet.

Based on this platform and with an extensive suite of software applications in current use GasNet considers it well placed to provide the ever increasing demand for information, particularly in light of the new regulatory regime under which this AMP has been developed. Whilst GasNet is not presently able to provide the full suite of information required, or must extensively aggregate/disaggregate information currently held to satisfy the information requirements, it nevertheless is well placed to ensure that by the end of the first regulatory period it is able to meet the requirements of a fully compliant Asset Management Plan.

The following table provides a summary of the main software applications currently in use.

| Document No: | Document Name: | Effective from: | 1 July 2013 | Version: 1.1 |
|----------------|--|------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| GNZ-012 | Transitional Asset Management Plan (AMP) | | | |
| Responsible Ma | nager: | Approved by Directors: | 18 101/2012 | Page 8 of 61 |
| General Manag | ger | Approved by Directors. | 18 July 2013 | Fage 0 01 01 |

Table 3 Software Applications

| Application | Purpose |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| ArcGIS (Esri) | Capture, store, manipulate, analyse, manage, and present GasNet's network assets (GIS) in electronic format. |
| Finance One (TechnologyOne) | Enterprise-wide control and integration of financial information including General and Job Ledger reporting, financial reporting and inventory (inward goods, stock issue, inventory management), with linkage to the payroll application PayGlobal. |
| Gas Registry (Gas Industry Company) | The central gas registry which stores and manages information to support the ready switching of gas customers between retailers on open access natural gas networks in New Zealand (GasNet, Powerco & Vector). |
| IntraMaps (Digital Mapping Solutions) | Web based viewing application providing access in office and in the field to GasNet's GIS records, with additional linkage to ICP information in GasNet's MIDaS application. |
| KernMobile (KernMobile NZ) | Web based works management and field data capture application. |
| Masterlink (Mercury) | Proprietary software associated with the Mercury Time of Use devices which log gas flow volume, pressure and temperature. |
| MIDaS (GasNet) | Developed in 2006 specifically for GasNet's the MIDaS, or "Meter and ICP Data System", application is the database of record for all ICP, retailer and consumer information, which is reconciled on a regular basis with the Gas Registry. All information that is attributed to an ICP is held in MIDaS. MIDaS also provides the throughput and associated billing information for invoicing retailers for network services provided. |
| OATIS (Vector) | OATIS which stands for "Open Access Transmission Information System", provides access to historic volume throughput information for each of GasNet's 5 Sales Gates, which can be selected in daily or hourly increments. |
| PayGlobal | Payroll services including timesheet entry and leave management, with linkage to Finance One. |
| PMAC (Technolog) | Proprietary software associated with the Cello devices which captures and manages the pressure and measurement data from remote monitoring sites (referred to in this AMP as Monitoring and Control Systems) in addition to over/under pressure alarms which are relayed to Technician's for first response. |
| Risk Manager (Impac) | Web based safety and environmental risk management application which captures stores and manages all risks identified by GasNet, integrated with incident investigation management and reporting. |
| Intranet (SharePoint) | Central access point for access to the latest version of all Company documents (i.e. Policies, Procedures, Safe Work Procedures (SWP's), Plans, Registers, Forms, Material Specifications, Material Safety Data Sheets (MSDS), etc.). |

In addition to a wealth of information contained within the various applications and databases referred to above, GasNet has an extension range of MS Excel spreadsheets and one MS Access database. Whilst ideally all data should be held within a managed software application, there are many instances where it is not cost effective to do so, typically due to the infrequency of use or the amount of information/data being held.

GasNet recognises that the IDD significantly increases the level of data capture, information management and disclosure, but considers it well placed to ensure that during the balance of the initial regulatory period the requirements will be fully integrated into GasNet's information technology environment.

2.11 Overview of Asset Management Documentation, Controls and Review Processes

Much of GasNet's asset management documentation is integrated within other documentation with the consequence that there are few asset management specific documents. The recent certification of GasNet's Public Safety Management System (PSMS) is an example where even very recently the opportunity was taken to integrate asset management with the documentation developed for the safety management system, particularly relevant given many of the synergies between the requirements. As an example the Risk Management Policy approved in January 2013 is a generic document encompassing all risks the Company either is or may be exposed to, and this is reflected in the comprehensive risk matrix contained within the Policy.

With the rate that GasNet has recently developed documentation both in respect of its PSMS and asset management, the strategy has been to continue producing the required documents rather than reviewing existing documentation that may be due for review. Whilst not desirable, this approach has been necessary to ensure the required systems are in place within a reasonable time period.

| Document No: GNZ-012 | Document Name: Transitional Asset Management Plan (AMP) | Effective from: | 1 July 2013 | Version: 1.1 |
|---------------------------------|--|------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Responsible Ma General Manag | nager: jer | Approved by Directors: | 18 July 2013 | Page 9 of 61 |

With the significant increase in documentation over recent years it has been essential to ensure documents are subject to a control regime that guarantees the latest version of any document is available to those that need it, and that it is clear which documents are in draft and those that have been superseded. GasNet's Intranet has provided the primary control mechanism as the access point for all GasNet documentation, with the latest versions once approved are posted on the site. In addition a suite of Registers, also available on the Intranet, provides the master list of documents and their status. A more robust form of document version control has been introduced, appropriately at the time the Policy Framework Policy was approved and introduced in January 2013, so that as each document is subject to its next review so will the version control be applied.

3.0 OVERVIEW OF ASSETS

GasNet's origins go back to the reticulation of manufactured gas within the city of Wanganui. Over the following decades as the city developed and grew so did the gas infrastructure until the availability of natural gas in the late 1960's displaced the need for manufactured gas. Although much of the original infrastructure has been replaced, there still remains approximately 60 km of low pressure metallic mains in operation and subject of an on-going mains replacement activity. All networks owned and operated by GasNet have been constructed to natural gas standards since 1970.

3.1 Gas Distribution Networks

3.1.1 Networks

GasNet owns and operates five discrete natural gas networks as shown below. Each network is connected by a Sales Gate station to the Vector Limited owned transmission pipeline. The five networks are known as Wanganui, Marton, Bulls, Waitotara, and Flockhouse.





Each GasNet network begins at the designated outlet of each Sales Gate station and labelled 'custody transfer' point. Natural gas is transported through a combination of metallic and polyethylene pipes in the GasNet network, typically reducing in pressure to the consumer's property. The outlet of the gas service valve at a consumer's property represents the end of the network being the 'demarcation point' between network and Gas Measurement System (GMS) assets.

Figure 2 shows the configuration of a typical gas network indicating the demarcation points, the means of supplying gas at various pressures to industrial, commercial, and residential users, and the equipment required to operate the network.

| Document No: | Document Name: | Effective from: | 1 July 2013 | Version: 1.1 |
|----------------------|--|------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| GNZ-012 | Transitional Asset Management Plan (AMP) | | | |
| Responsible Manager: | | Annewed by Directory | 10 1010 2012 | Dama 10 af 61 |
| General Manag | ger | Approved by Directors: | To July 2013 | rage 10 01 01 |

Figure 2: General Network Layout



3.1.2 Network Assets

Each network comprises assets categorised as mains and services, district regulator stations, valves, and crossings, interconnected in a layout similar to that shown in Figure 2 above.

3.1.2.1 Mains

Mains are larger sized pipes which are used to transport volumes of gas from one point on the network to another for further distribution and use. They are principally installed underground, are constructed of either metallic or polyethylene material, and transport gas at intermediate (IP), medium (MP), and low (LP) pressures.

IP mains are all steel construction while MP mains are generally constructed of polyethylene material. LP mains are a mix of materials including polyethylene and various metallic materials (welded or riveted steels, and cast or wrought irons).

3.1.2.2 Services

Services are smaller sized pipes which are used to transport volumes of gas from a main to a consumer. Services are principally installed underground, are constructed of either metallic or polyethylene material, and transport gas at intermediate (IP), medium (MP), and low (LP) pressures.

IP services are all steel construction while MP services are generally constructed of polyethylene material. LP mains are a mix of materials including polyethylene and various metallic materials (welded or riveted steels, and cast or wrought irons).

3.1.2.3 District Regulator Stations (DRS)

District Regulator Stations reduce and regulate the gas pressure to suitable pressures to enable distribution across large areas. DRS are generally constructed of steel components and reduce pressures from IP to MP and/or LP, and MP to LP pressures. The district regulator stations are categorised as DRS or mini DRS. Mini DRS generally supply only a limited number of consumers and are typically installed where the main fronting the properties is not suitable for individual service connections.

3.1.2.4 Main Line Valves (MLV)

Main line valves are installed in strategic locations to allow isolation of sections of the network for public safety in the event of an emergency, to isolate specific network assets such as DRS, to facilitate maintenance, or to allow further connection. MLVs are installed underground and in most cases are accessed via a chamber and lid through which a valve key may be inserted to operate the valve. There are three types of MLV: Polyethylene ball valves, flanged steel ball valves, and flanged steel or iron plug valves.

3.1.2.5 Crossings

A Crossing is any main or service that passes underneath or over an area of special interest that has a different risk profile to that of other mains or services located in areas of a more general nature. Crossings include all mains that pass under railway lines or over rivers and streams, are mounted on bridge superstructures, or otherwise supported above the ground.

| Document No: | Document Name: | Effective from: | 4 1.1.1. 0040 | Versien: 4.4 |
|----------------|--|------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| GNZ-012 | Transitional Asset Management Plan (AMP) | Effective from: | 1 July 2013 | version: 1.1 |
| Responsible Ma | nager: | Annual by Directory | 10 1010 2012 | Dama 11 of 61 |
| General Manag | jer | Approved by Directors: | 10 July 2013 | rage 11 01 01 |

3.1.2.6 Corrosion Prevention

A range of proprietary coating systems provide the primary means of protecting steel pipes and fittings from corrosion both above and below ground.

A secondary protection system for all underground IP and MP steel mains and services, known as Cathodic Protection (CP), is also provided using either an impressed current system, sacrificial anode system, or combination of both. Monitoring test points are positioned at strategic locations along the mains and at District Regulator Stations to enable measurement of the level of protection at that location. Routine CP monitoring checks are performed to confirm adequate levels of protection are maintained.

3.1.2.7 Monitoring and Control Systems

At various strategic locations across the IP, MP, and LP networks, Monitoring and Control Systems are installed to monitor and record network data. Generally a Monitoring and Control Systems utilises modem and internet connection to transmit time stamped data to a central collection point for analysis. Typically the Monitoring and Control Systems has the ability to transmit network alarms real time to operational personnel for action.

In addition to pressure and voltage Monitoring and Control Systems, GasNet has included in this asset category its one Network Metering Station located in Wanganui that is used to measure all gas entering a discrete area of residential housing. The Network Metering Station consists of a meter, associated data capture device, remote access telemetry and necessary valving, pipe work, etc.

Information captured from all Monitoring and Control Systems units can also be used in throughput modelling, consumption predictions, and as a valuable input to network design.

3.1.3 Physical Statistics

Below is a summary of GasNet's network assets covered by the AMP.

Asset Number Length (m) Mains 389,540 12,774 231,510 Services **District Regulator Stations** 21 Main Line Valves 170 Crossings 54 -**Cathodic Protection** 28 **Monitoring & Control Systems** 45 -

Table 4: Network Assets Physical Statistics

3.1.4 Asset Age Profiles

The following profiles are extracted from the most recent data sets available at the time of preparing this AMP. Assets which pre-date natural gas are populated with a default date of 1956 since identifying installation and commissioning dates for assets of that era has proven problematic due to insufficient records.

In respect of data accuracy for the pipe assets (mains, services, and crossings) it is judged that 50% of the installation dates are based on known information, while 50% are unconfirmed and based on assumptions made from other related records, or determined by a suite of rules.

In many instances the service pipe to a consumer's premise comprises multiple sections of pipe that are not necessarily of the same installation date. The age profile then for services reflects the length of each service pipe component, rather than the number of services.



| Document No: GNZ-012 | Document Name: Transitional Asset Management Plan (AMP) | Effective from: | 1 July 2013 | Version: 1.1 |
|---------------------------------|--|------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| Responsible Ma General Manag | nager: ger | Approved by Directors: | 18 July 2013 | Page 12 of 61 |



| Document No: | Document Name: | Effective from: | 1. July 2013 | Version: 1.1 |
|----------------------|--|-----------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| GNZ-012 | Transitional Asset Management Plan (AMP) | | | |
| Responsible Manager: | | American de las Dimensiones | 40 1.1 | Dama 40 a4 64 |
| General Manag | ger | Approved by Directors: | 18 July 2013 | Page 13 0f 61 |

4.0 ASSETS COVERED

4.1 Wanganui Network

The Wanganui network transports natural gas at intermediate (IP), medium (MP), and low (LP) pressures. Figure 3 is illustrative of the configuration, specification and operating parameters of this network.



Figure 3: Wanganui Network Configuration

4.1.1 Intermediate Pressure (IP) System

The IP system shown in Figure 4 below is generally designed as a single arterial pipeline from the Sales Gate station to Castlecliff in the west and to Aramoho in the north, with reinforcement looping of the industrial areas. It is currently operating at 1050 kPag.

The system was originally designed to supply major industrial consumers and DRS were located at points along the pipeline matching adverse demand requirements. As looping of system sections exists to reinforce industrial demands, the balance of the system is reliant on the integrity of these sections of the IP mains to provide continuous supply.

4.1.1.1 IP Summary Physical Statistics

Intermediate pressure system physical statistics are summarised in Table 5 below.

Table 5: Wanganui IP System Physical Statistics

| Asset | Number | Length (m) |
|----------------------------------|--------|------------|
| Mains | - | 20,496 |
| Services | 36 | 1,084 |
| District Regulator Stations | 6 | - |
| Mini District Regulator Stations | 3 | - |
| Main Line Valves | 38 | - |
| Crossings | 14 | - |
| Cathodic Protection | 13 | - |
| Monitoring & Control Systems | 9 | - |

| Document No: GNZ-012 | Document Name: Transitional Asset Management Plan (AMP) | Effective from: | 1 July 2013 | Version: 1.1 |
|---------------------------------|--|------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| Responsible Ma General Manag | nager: jer | Approved by Directors: | 18 July 2013 | Page 14 of 61 |

4.1.1.2 IP Mains

The IP mains are constructed of steel API line pipe coated with yellow coloured polyethylene material (known as yellow jacket pipe). The steel pipe is weld jointed at 6 or 12 metre intervals, and terminates at stations or other equipment with welded flanges. The IP mains are generally installed underground by open trenching method and are fully electrically insulated.

In Figure 4, the IP mains are shown as a black line. The Wanganui Sales Gate station is shown as "SG1".

Figure 4: Wanganui Intermediate Pressure System



Following the introduction of natural gas to Wanganui in 1973, the IP system was constructed. Reinforcement looping of the network was constructed in the early 1980s during a period of significant network growth.

4.1.1.3 IP Services

The IP services are connected to mains with a variety of connections and terminate above ground with a welded flange. All IP services are electrically insulated and isolated from the main and from the GMS.

4.1.1.4 IP District Regulator Stations (DRS)

DRS configurations include twin stream active/monitor regulation, single or twin stream active/monitor, worker/standby stream, and single stream worker only. The mini DRS are generally configured as single stream worker only.

In Figure 5, DRS are shown prefixed with a "D" and the smaller mini DRS with "MD". The Sales Gate station is shown as "SG1". Each location is marked with an icon.



Figure 5: Wanganui Intermediate Pressure DRS

| Document No: GNZ-012 | Document Name: Transitional Asset Management Plan (AMP) | Effective from: | 1 July 2013 | Version: 1.1 |
|---------------------------------|--|------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| Responsible Ma General Manag | nager: jer | Approved by Directors: | 18 July 2013 | Page 15 of 61 |

4.1.1.5 IP Main Line Valves (MLV)

MLVs are used to split sections of looped network, isolate strategic assets such as crossings and DRS, and isolate branch connections off the main arterial pipeline. MLVs are located principally underground, in pits or chambers that are accessible from the surface for insertion of valve keys to enable their operation. IP MLVs are flanged ball or plug types constructed of steel or iron material.

4.1.1.6 IP Crossings

Crossing types include bridge, stream, aboveground and rail. Each type of crossing is constructed to meet the individual specific risk profile of the environment in which the gas asset is crossing. IP crossing construction can include casing and vents, roller support mounts, thermal expansion joints, and other specialist fittings.

In Figure 6, bridge crossings are shown prefixed with "BC", stream crossings with "SC"; above ground crossings with "AG", and rail crossings with "RC".

AG03 BC07 RC10 RC10 RC23 RC23 RC31 SC03 SC03 SC03 SC04

Figure 6: Wanganui Intermediate Pressure Crossings

4.1.1.7 IP Corrosion Prevention

The Wanganui Cathodic Protection system comprises a combination of impressed current and sacrificial anode systems. The IP mains constructed in the 1970's when natural gas was first introduced to Wanganui were protected by sacrificial anodes installed at regular intervals along the buried steel mains, each with its own test point at ground level for monitoring purposes.

In the mid 1980's a new impressed current system was installed adjacent to the Sales Gate comprising a rectifier supplied from the local electricity network and a sacrificial anode bed installed in the Whanganui River bed. Whilst for a variety of reasons a number of the original sacrificial anodes have been permanently disconnected from the system over the years, a number still remain in service operating in conjunction with the impressed current system.

In Figure 7, cathodic protection monitoring test points are shown prefixed with "CP" and the IP mains shown as a black line.

Figure 7: Wanganui IP Cathodic Protection Test Points



| Document No: | Document Name: | Effective from: | 1 July 2013 | Version: 1.1 |
|----------------------|--|---------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| GNZ-012 | Transitional Asset Management Plan (AMP) | | | |
| Responsible Manager: | | American de la Dimensione | 40.1.1.0040 | D |
| General Manag | ger | Approved by Directors: | 18 July 2013 | Page 16 of 61 |

4.1.1.8 IP Monitoring and Control Systems

IP monitoring is a part of the wider network electronic pressure and CP monitoring system. Operational conditions are monitored at various points on the IP system and data is sent to a central monitoring station daily. The equipment is configured to monitor for critical minimum IP system parameters and activate alarms which are transmitted to monitoring software that notifies operational personnel. GasNet has installed pressure monitoring telemetry at a number of large demand sites.

4.1.2 Medium Pressure (MP) System

The MP system shown in Figure 8. below is generally designed in a grid configuration with mains connected wherever pipes cross. The system is constructed predominantly of polyethylene with four sections of API steel, being designed with a maximum allowable operating pressure of 420 kPag and is operating at 210 kPag.

Construction of the MP system commenced in 1977 with API steel mains installed between DRS. Further development of the MP system brought the benefits of higher pressure distribution. Much of the MP system has been constructed by inserting the newer PE pipe into the older (pre natural gas) metallic pipes.

In 1989 a decision was taken to stop the refurbishment (reconditioning) of LP metallic mains and instead insert them with polyethylene pipe and thereby being able to increase the operating pressure to MP. Alternatively GasNet would replace them with polyethylene pipe of the same size and continue to operate them at LP.

4.1.2.1 MP Summary Physical Statistics

Medium pressure system statistics are summarised in Table 6 below.

| Asset | Number | Length (m) |
|----------------------------------|--------|------------|
| Mains | - | 99,506 |
| Services | 2572 | 47,310 |
| District Regulator Stations | 7 | - |
| Mini District Regulator Stations | 6 | - |
| Main Line Valves | 102 | - |
| Crossings | 11 | - |
| Cathodic Protection | 4 | - |
| Monitoring & Control Systems | 12 | - |

Table 6: Wanganui MP System Physical Statistics

4.1.2.2 MP Mains

The gas mains are almost entirely constructed of Polyethylene pipe with a small amount of API line pipe coated with yellow jacket. The first polyethylene pipe installed was high density polyethylene, (HDPE) and it continued to be installed until the introduction of medium density polyethylene (MDPE). Thereafter all further construction used MDPE as this proved to be a more durable pipe material.

In Figure 8, the MP mains are shown as a black line. The Sales Gate station is shown as "SG1". Some lengths of MP mains appear separated from the bulk of the mains as they are fed from a mini-DRS (not shown).



Figure 8: Wanganui MP System

| Document No: | Document Name: | Effective from | 4 1-1-1-0040 | Varaian: 4.4 |
|----------------|--|----------------------------|--------------|----------------|
| GNZ-012 | Transitional Asset Management Plan (AMP) | Effective from: 1 July 207 | | s version: 1.1 |
| Responsible Ma | nager: | Annewed by Directory | 10 1010 2012 | Dama 17 of 61 |
| General Manag | ger | Approved by Directors: | 18 July 2013 | Page 17 of 61 |

4.1.2.3 MP Services

MP services are constructed of predominantly polyethylene material installed directly or inserted in older metallic type service pipes. The majority of MP services to residential properties are 10 mm or 25 mm internal diameter.

4.1.2.4 MP District Regulator Stations (DRS)

DRS configurations include twin stream active/monitor regulation, single or twin stream active/monitor, worker/standby stream, and single stream worker only. The mini DRS are generally configured as single stream worker only.

In Figure 9, full sized DRS are shown prefixed with a "D" and the smaller mini DRS with "MD". Each location is marked with an icon.



Figure 9: Wanganui Medium Pressure DRS

4.1.2.5 MP Main Line Valves (MLV)

MLVs are used to split sections of the central business district, isolate strategic assets such as crossings and DRS, and isolate branch connections off the main arterial pipeline. MLVs are located principally underground, in pits or chambers that are accessible from the surface for insertion of valve key to enable their operation. MP MLVs are ball or plug types constructed of steel or Polyethylene material.

4.1.2.6 MP Crossings

Crossing types include bridge, stream, aboveground and rail. Each type of crossing is constructed to meet the individual specific risk profile of the environment in which the gas asset is crossing. MP crossing construction can include casing and vents, roller support mounts, thermal expansion joints and other specialist fittings.

In Figure 10, bridge crossings are shown prefixed with "BC" and rail crossings with "RC". The Sales Gate station is shown as "SG1".



Figure 10: Wanganui Medium Pressure Crossings

| Document No: GNZ-012 | Document Name: Transitional Asset Management Plan (AMP) | Effective from: | 1 July 2013 | Version: 1.1 |
|---------------------------------|--|------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| Responsible Ma General Manag | nager: jer | Approved by Directors: | 18 July 2013 | Page 18 of 61 |

4.1.2.7 MP Corrosion Prevention

Cathodic Protection is applied on all underground metallic MP mains pipes.

In Figure 11, Cathodic Protection monitoring test points are shown prefixed with "CP" followed by a number and the MP mains are shown as a black line.



Figure 11: Wanganui MP CP Test Points

4.1.2.8 MP Monitoring and Control Systems

MP network monitoring is a part of the wider network electronic pressure monitoring system. Operational conditions are monitored at various points on the MP system and data is sent to a central monitoring station daily. The equipment is configured to monitor critical minimum MP system parameters and activate alarms which are transmitted to monitoring software that notifies operational personnel. GasNet has installed pressure alarm telemetry at a number of large demand sites which are also monitored.

GasNet has included in this asset category its one dedicated network Monitoring Station that meters and records the volume throughput into a discrete section of the network made up of residential consumers only. The data provided by the station is transmitted to GasNet monitoring station daily for analysis.

4.1.3 Low Pressure (LP) System

The LP network shown in Figure 12 below is generally constructed in a grid configuration, with LP mains connected wherever pipes cross. The system pre-dates the introduction of natural gas and includes mains and services constructed of many different materials. The system has a design maximum allowable operating pressure of 7 kPag and is operating at 2 kPag.

4.1.3.1 LP Summary Physical Statistics

LP system statistics are summarised in Table 6 below.

| Table 7: | Wanganui | LP | System | Physical | Statistics |
|----------|----------|----|--------|----------|------------|
|----------|----------|----|--------|----------|------------|

| Asset | Number | Length (m) |
|------------------------------|--------|------------|
| Mains | - | 220,708 |
| Services | 9,369 | 162,445 |
| District Regulator Stations | - | - |
| Main Line Valves | 14 | - |
| Crossings | 15 | - |
| Cathodic Protection | - | - |
| Monitoring & Control Systems | 15 | - |

4.1.3.2 LP Mains

With the introduction of polyethylene pipe, rehabilitation projects began replacing the metal mains and services with PE. Network development plans of the time were to construct new polyethylene mains and services to medium pressure construction standards but remain on low pressure and when significant areas had been completed, to up-rate the operating pressure to medium pressures.

In the early 1990s GasNet embarked on a project involving insertion of the original larger diameter LP mains and services with smaller diameter PE pipes. The immediate benefits of the higher (MP) pressure were realised

| Document No: | Document Name: | Effective from: | 1 July 2013 | Version: 1.1 |
|----------------|--|------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| GNZ-012 | Transitional Asset Management Plan (AMP) | | , | |
| Responsible Ma | nager: | Approved by Directory | 10 1010 2012 | Dama 10 af 61 |
| General Manag | ger | Approved by Directors: | 18 July 2013 | Page 19 of 61 |

and the cost of construction was reduced. This method became the favoured method for future mains rehabilitation for the areas where MP was available and it could be completed without compromising the LP network.

In Figure 12, the LP mains are shown as a black line. The Sales Gate station is shown as "SG1". Some lengths of LP mains appear separated from the bulk of the mains as they are fed from a mini-DRS (not shown).



Figure 12: Wanganui Low Pressure System

Older, pre-natural gas LP mains were constructed of a variety of metallic materials such as cast and wrought irons and various steels manufactured in lengths from 9 feet to 30 feet depending on the material. These LP mains were installed to levels that allowed condensates within the coal gas to drain to a low point where a siphon was installed to collect the liquid which could later be pumped out. The mains were all mechanically jointed using the bell and spigot method for cast iron mains and compression couplings for other types.

LP cast iron pipes are generally in reasonable condition for their age, with many pipe to pipe joints having been encapsulated over the years to prevent leakage. An extensive programme of joint encapsulation was undertaken immediately following the introduction of the dry natural gas to the manufactured gas network in the early 1970's, with initial leakage reported at 82% UFG (Unaccounted for Gas). The bell and spigot joints on the cast iron mains contained a hemp seal which relied on the wet manufactured gas to keep the joint gas tight. The unfortunate consequence of introducing the dry natural gas was that many of the joints dried out and with pipe sections being typically around 3m in length, leakage became a significant issue until it came under control a few years later. Joint leakage is less of a concern nowadays and whilst it does occur from time to time, it does not prevent the safe on-going operation of the LP cast iron network.

The other LP pre-natural gas metallic mains comprise a range of unwrapped bare steel, galvanised and wrought iron materials which are in a range of conditions and are being progressively replaced.

| Table 8: | Wanganui | LP Mains | by Material |
|----------|----------|----------|-------------|
|----------|----------|----------|-------------|

| LP Mains Material | Length (m) | % of Total | | | |
|--|------------|------------|--|--|--|
| PRE-NATURAL GAS (Original manufactured gas network) | | | | | |
| Cast Iron | 18,060 | 8.2% | | | |
| Galvanised | 8,480 | 3.8% | | | |
| Mannesman Steel | 9,939 | 4.5% | | | |
| Spiral Riveted | 3,389 | 1.5% | | | |
| Steel | 2,218 | 1.0% | | | |
| Spiral Welded | 7,219 | 3.3% | | | |
| Wrought Iron | 457 | 0.2% | | | |

| Document No: | Document Name: | Effective from: | 1 July 2012 | Vorsion: 1.1 |
|----------------|--|------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| GNZ-012 | Transitional Asset Management Plan (AMP) | Encouve nom. | 1 July 2013 | |
| Responsible Ma | nager: | Annewed by Directory | 10 1010 2012 | Dama 20 of 61 |
| General Manag | ger | Approved by Directors: | 18 July 2013 | Page 20 of 61 |

| POST-NATURAL GAS (Built to modern day standards) | | | | |
|---|---------|--------|--|--|
| Polyethylene 162,994 73.9% | | | | |
| API Steel (PE Coated) 7,951 3.6% | | | | |
| Total | 220,708 | 100.0% | | |

4.1.3.3 LP Services

LP services supplying gas from the LP mains to the consumer's property are constructed of either metallic or polyethylene material. Older services were constructed of various steels and irons and pre date natural gas. Since the introduction of polyethylene, it has been used with few exceptions for LP services.

LP service pipes are typically 25 mm internal diameter for standard service connections but for longer length or higher capacity services, larger diameter pipes are used.

4.1.3.4 LP Main Line Valves (MLV)

MLVs are utilised for the isolation of strategic assets such as crossings and DRS and are located principally underground, in pits or chambers that are accessible from the surface for insertion of valve key to enable their operation. MLVs are ball or plug types constructed of steel or Polyethylene material.

4.1.3.5 LP Crossings

Crossing types include bridge, stream, aboveground and rail. Each type of crossing is constructed to meet the individual specific risk profile of the environment in which the gas asset is crossing. LP crossing construction can include casing and vents, roller support mounts, thermal expansion joints and other specialist fittings.

In Figure 13, Bridge crossings are shown prefixed with "BC", above ground crossings with "AG" and rail crossings with "RC". The Sales Gate station is shown as "SG1".



Figure 13: Wanganui Low Pressure Crossings

4.1.3.6 LP Corrosion Prevention

There is no Cathodic Protection applied to LP assets. For discussion of other corrosion prevention methods please refer to section 3.1.2.6 above.

4.1.3.7 LP Monitoring and Control Systems

LP network monitoring is a part of the wider network electronic pressure monitoring system. Operational conditions are monitored at various points on the LP system and data is sent to a central monitoring station daily. The equipment is configured to monitor critical minimum LP system parameters and activate alarms which are transmitted to monitoring software that notifies operational personnel.

| Document No: | Document Name: | Effective from: | 1 July 2012 | Version: 1.1 |
|----------------|--|------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| GNZ-012 | Transitional Asset Management Plan (AMP) | Lifective nom. | 1 July 2013 | |
| Responsible Ma | nager: | Annewed by Directory | 10 1010 2012 | Dama 21 of 61 |
| General Manag | ger | Approved by Directors: | 18 July 2013 | Page 21 of 61 |

4.2 Marton Network

The Marton network transports natural gas at intermediate (IP) and medium (MP) pressures. Figure 14 is illustrative of the configuration, specification and operating parameters of this network.



Figure 14: Marton Network Configuration

4.2.1 Intermediate Pressure (IP) System

4.2.1.1 IP Summary Physical Statistics

Marton IP system statistics are summarised in Table 9 below.

Table 9: Marton IP System Physical Statistics

| Asset | Number | Length (m) |
|------------------------------|--------|------------|
| Mains | - | 3,416 |
| Services | 4 | 54 |
| District Regulator Stations | 2 | - |
| Main Line Valves | 5 | - |
| Crossings | 4 | - |
| Cathodic Protection | 9 | - |
| Monitoring & Control Systems | 3 | - |

4.2.1.2 IP Mains

The IP system shown in Figure 15. below, is generally designed as an arterial pipeline from the Sales Gate station in Wings Line to Wellington Road. The IP system constructed from 1982 onwards, is yellow jacket API steel pipe designed for a maximum allowable pressure of 1720 kPag and is operating at 1500 kPag. In Figure 15, the IP mains are shown as a black line. The Sales Gate station is shown as "SG3".

| Document No: | Document Name: | Effective from: | 1 July 2013 | Version: 1.1 |
|----------------------|--|------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| GNZ-012 | Transitional Asset Management Plan (AMP) | | | Version. 1.1 |
| Responsible Manager: | | Approved by Directory | 10 1010 2012 | Dama 22 of 64 |
| General Manag | ger | Approved by Directors: | 10 July 2013 | raye 22 01 01 |

Figure 15: Marton IP System



The IP system was originally constructed to supply industrial consumers, and two DRS were strategically located at points along the pipeline.

4.2.1.3 IP Services

All services are constructed of yellow jacket API line pipe and connected to mains with service saddle connections and terminate above ground with a welded flange. These services are electrically insulated from the main and from the station (DRS or GMS) pipe work to which they interface.

4.2.1.4 IP District Regulator Stations (DRS)

There are two DRS that are located close to the downstream extremities of the IP network and reduce the IP to MP for further reticulation within Marton. The DRS are constructed of steel material and are of twin stream configuration providing backup in the event of active stream equipment failure.

In Figure 16, full sized DRS are shown prefixed with a "D". The Sales Gate station is shown as "SG3".



Figure 16: Marton IP DRS

4.2.1.5 IP Main Line Valves (MLV)

MLVs are used to split sections of looped network, isolate strategic assets such as crossings and DRS, and isolate branch connections off the main arterial pipeline. MLVs are located principally underground, in pits or chambers that are accessible from the surface for insertion of valve key to enable their operation. IP MLVs are flanged ball or plug types constructed of steel or iron material.

| Document No: GNZ-012 | Document Name: Transitional Asset Management Plan (AMP) | Effective from: | 1 July 2013 | Version: 1.1 |
|---------------------------------|--|------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| Responsible Ma General Manag | jer | Approved by Directors: | 18 July 2013 | Page 23 of 61 |

4.2.1.6 IP Crossings

Crossing types include bridge, stream, aboveground, and rail crossings. Each type of crossing is constructed to meet the individual specific risk profile of the environment in which the gas asset is crossing. IP crossing construction can include casing and vents, roller support mounts, thermal expansion joints and other specialist fittings.

In Figure 17, bridge crossings are shown prefixed with a "BC", Stream Crossings with "SC", and rail crossings with "RC". The Sales Gate station is shown as "SG3".



Figure 17: Marton Intermediate Pressure Crossings

4.2.1.7 IP Corrosion Prevention

Cathodic Protection is applied using sacrificial anodes installed along its route.

In Figure 18, Cathodic Protection monitoring test points are shown prefixed with a "CP" followed by a number and the IP mains are shown as a black line.

Figure 18: Marton IP CP Test Points



4.2.1.8 IP Monitoring and Control Systems

IP monitoring is a part of the wider network electronic pressure and CP monitoring system. Operational conditions are monitored at various points on the IP system and data is sent to a central monitoring station daily. The equipment is configured to monitor for critical minimum IP system parameters and activate alarms which are transmitted to monitoring software that notifies operational personnel. GasNet has installed pressure alarm monitoring at a number of large demand sites.

| Document No: | Document Name: | Effective from: | 1 July 2013 | Version: 1.1 |
|----------------------|--|------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| GNZ-012 | Transitional Asset Management Plan (AMP) | | | |
| Responsible Manager: | | Approved by Directore | 10 101/2012 | Page 24 of 61 |
| General Manag | ger | Approved by Directors. | 18 July 2013 | Fage 24 01 01 |

4.2.2 Medium Pressure (MP) System

4.2.2.1 MP Summary Physical Statistics

Marton MP system statistics are summarised in Table 10 below.

Table 10: Marton MP System Physical Statistics

| Asset | Number | Length (m) |
|------------------------------|--------|------------|
| Mains | - | 26,039 |
| Services | 628 | 13,882 |
| District Regulator Stations | - | - |
| Main Line Valves | 9 | - |
| Crossings | 8 | - |
| Cathodic Protection | - | - |
| Monitoring & Control Systems | 2 | - |

4.2.2.2 MP Mains

The MP network in Marton is constructed of polyethylene mains interconnected to a grid configuration. The mains have design maximum allowable pressure of 420 kPag and are operating at 210 kPag

In Figure 19, the MP mains are shown as a black line. The Sales Gate station is shown as "SG3".



Figure 19: Marton MP System

4.2.2.3 MP Services

MP services are constructed of predominantly Polyethylene material installed directly in the ground by open trench method or drilling methods. MP services are constructed with a design MAOP of 420 kPag and are operating at 210 kPag. MP services to residential properties are 10 mm or 25 mm diameter while non-domestic range between 10-50 mm. MP services are connected to the Polyethylene main by service saddle and terminate at the service riser with a mechanical crimp fitting.

4.2.2.4 MP Main Line Valves (MLV)

MLVs are used to split sections of the network, isolate strategic assets such as crossings and DRS, and isolate branch connections off the main arterial pipeline. MLVs are located principally underground, in pits or chambers that are accessible from the surface for insertion of valve key to enable their operation. MP MLV are ball or plug types constructed of steel or Polyethylene material.

4.2.2.5 MP Crossings

Crossing types include bridge, stream, aboveground and rail. Each type of crossing is constructed to meet the individual specific risk profile of the environment in which the gas asset is crossing. MP crossing construction can include casing and vents, roller support mounts, thermal expansion joints and other specialist fittings.

In Figure 20, bridge crossings are shown prefixed with a "BC" and rail crossings as "RC". The Sales Gate station is shown as "SG3".

| Document No: GNZ-012 | Document Name: Transitional Asset Management Plan (AMP) | Effective from: | 1 July 2013 | Version: 1.1 |
|---------------------------------|--|------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| Responsible Ma General Manag | nager: Jer | Approved by Directors: | 18 July 2013 | Page 25 of 61 |

Figure 20: Marton Medium Pressure Crossings



4.2.2.6 MP Monitoring and Control Systems

MP monitoring is a part of the wider network electronic pressure monitoring system. Operational conditions are monitored at various points on the MP system and data is sent to a central monitoring station daily. The equipment is configured to monitor for critical minimum MP system parameters and activate alarms which are transmitted to monitoring software that notifies operational personnel. GasNet has installed pressure alarm monitoring at a number of large demand sites.

4.3 Bulls Network

The Bulls network transports natural gas at medium (MP) pressures. Figure 21 is illustrative of the configuration, specification and operating parameters of this network.

Figure 21: Bulls Network Configuration

BULLS NETWORK CONFIGURATION , SPECIFICATION and OPERATING PARAMETERS



4.3.1 Medium Pressure (MP) System

4.3.1.1 MP Summary Physical Statistics

Bulls network statistics are summarised in Table 11 below.

Table 11: Bulls Network Physical Statistics

| Asset | Number | Length (m) |
|------------------------------|--------|------------|
| Mains | - | 14,290 |
| Services | 155 | 5,780 |
| District Regulator Stations | - | - |
| Main Line Valves | 2 | - |
| Crossings | 1 | - |
| Cathodic Protection | - | - |
| Monitoring & Control Systems | 3 | - |

| Document No: GNZ-012 | Document Name: Transitional Asset Management Plan (AMP) | Effective from: | 1 July 2013 | Version: 1.1 |
|---------------------------------|--|------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| Responsible Ma General Manag | nager: jer | Approved by Directors: | 18 July 2013 | Page 26 of 61 |

4.3.1.2 MP Mains

The Bulls MP system shown in Figure 22. was installed to supply consumers in Bulls township including a CNG station. The design incorporates a single arterial main constructed in 1987 which was installed from the Sales Gate station at Lake Alice, traversing rural land to the west side of Bulls township. The network is supplied direct from the Sales Gate with no District Regulator Stations (DRS). The main has a design maximum allowable pressure of 420 kPag which is operating at 230 kPag. The network within the township is generally designed with arterial mains having little interconnection. The development of a meat processing plant on the outskirts of Bulls has replaced the CNG load.

In Figure 22, the MP mains are shown as a black line. The Sales Gate station is shown as "SG4".



Figure 22: Bulls Network

4.3.1.3 MP Services

MP services are constructed of predominantly Polyethylene material installed directly in the ground by open trench method or drilling methods. MP services are constructed with a design MAOP of 420 kPag and are operating at 230 kPag. MP services to residential properties are 10 mm or 25 mm diameter while non-domestic range between 10-50 mm. MP services are connected to the Polyethylene main by service saddle and terminate at the service riser with a mechanical crimp fitting.

4.3.1.4 MP Main Line Valves (MLV)

The MLVs are used to segregate sections of arterial pipeline supplying the town of Bulls and are located principally underground, in pits or chambers that are accessible from the surface for insertion of valve key to enable their operation. MP MLVs are ball or plug types constructed of steel or Polyethylene material.

4.3.1.5 MP Crossings

The Bulls MP network contains an under stream crossing which is shown in Figure 23. The crossing has been constructed to meet the individual specific risk profile of the stream environment in which the gas asset is crossing. The stream crossing is shown as "SC01" and the Sales Gate station is shown as "SG4".



Figure 23: Bulls MP Crossings

| Document No: | Document Name: | Effective from | 4 1.1 | Versien: 4.4 |
|----------------|--|------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| GNZ-012 | Transitional Asset Management Plan (AMP) | Enective nom. | 1 July 2013 | version. 1.1 |
| Responsible Ma | nager: | Annuoved by Directory | 10 1010 2012 | Dama 27 of 64 |
| General Manag | ger | Approved by Directors: | 18 July 2013 | Page 27 of 61 |

4.3.1.6 MP Monitoring and Control Systems

MP monitoring is a part of the wider network electronic pressure monitoring system. Operational conditions are monitored at various points on the MP system and data is sent to a central monitoring station daily. The equipment is configured to monitor for critical minimum MP system parameters and activate alarms which are transmitted to monitoring software that notifies operational personnel. GasNet has installed pressure alarm monitoring at a number of large demand sites.

4.4 Flockhouse Network

The Flockhouse MP network was primarily installed to supply a large agricultural training centre and grain dryer, with both domestic and commercial connection offered to properties along the pipe route. The network is supplied direct from the Sales Gate at MP with no DRS connected.

Figure 24 is illustrative of the configuration, specification and operating parameters of this network.

Figure 24: Flockhouse Network Configuration



4.4.1 Medium Pressure (MP) System

4.4.1.1 MP Summary Physical Statistics

Flockhouse MP system statistics are summarised in Table 12 below.

Table 12: Flockhouse Network Physical Statistics

| Asset | Number | Length (m) |
|------------------------------|--------|------------|
| Mains | | 3,438 |
| Services | 9 | 873 |
| DRS | - | - |
| Main Line Valves | - | - |
| Crossings | - | - |
| Cathodic Protection | - | - |
| Monitoring & Control Systems | - | - |

4.4.1.2 MP Mains

The Polyethylene main installed in 1986 is a single arterial main from the Flockhouse Sales Gate north along Parewanui Road to the Flock House Estate. The main has a design MAOP of 420 kPag and is operating at 150 kPag.

In Figure 25, the MP mains are shown as a black line. The Sales Gate station is shown as "SG5".

| Document No: | Document Name: | Effective from: | 1 July 2013 | Version: 1.1 |
|----------------------|--|------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| GNZ-012 | Transitional Asset Management Plan (AMP) | | | Version. 1.1 |
| Responsible Manager: | | Approved by Directory | 10 1010 2012 | Dama 28 of 64 |
| General Manag | ger | Approved by Directors: | 10 July 2013 | raye 20 01 01 |

Figure 25: Flockhouse Network



4.4.1.3 MP Services

MP services are constructed of predominantly Polyethylene material installed directly in the ground by open trench method or drilling methods. MP services are constructed with a design MAOP of 420 kPag and are operating at 150 kPag. MP services to residential properties are 10 mm or 25 mm diameter while non-domestic range between 10-50 mm. MP services are connected to the Polyethylene main by service saddle and terminate at the service riser with a mechanical crimp fitting.

4.5 Waitotara Network

The Waitotara MP system was constructed to supply a meat processing plant only. The design incorporated a single PE arterial main from Vector Sales Gate station at Waitotara north through rural farmland to the plant. The network is supplied direct from the Sales Gate with no DRS connected.

Figure 26 is illustrative of the configuration, specification and operating parameters of this network.

Figure 26: Waitotara Network Configuration



4.5.1 Medium Pressure (MP) System

4.5.1.1 MP Summary Physical Statistics

Waitotara MP system statistics are summarised in Table 13 below.

Table 13: Waitotara Network Physical Statistics

| Asset | Number | Length (m) |
|------------------------------|--------|------------|
| Mains | - | 1,646 |
| Services | 1 | 82 |
| DRS | - | - |
| Main Line Valve | - | - |
| Crossings | - | - |
| Cathodic Protection | - | - |
| Monitoring & Control Systems | 1 | - |

| Document No: GNZ-012 | Document Name: Transitional Asset Management Plan (AMP) | Effective from: | 1 July 2013 | Version: 1.1 |
|---------------------------------|--|------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| Responsible Ma General Manag | nager: jer | Approved by Directors: | 18 July 2013 | Page 29 of 61 |

4.5.1.2 MP Mains

The single PE arterial main from Vector Sales Gate station to the meat processing plant was installed in 1987 and has a design MAOP of 420 kPag and is operating at 300 kPag.

In Figure 27, the MP main is shown as a black line. The Sales Gate station is shown as "SG6".



Figure 27: Waitotara System

4.5.1.3 MP Services

The single arterial main terminates at the meat processing plant and a single smaller diameter pipe provides the service connection.

4.5.1.4 MP Monitoring and Control Systems

There is no discrete network monitoring equipment installed but the Time of Use (TOU) equipment installed at the GMS has integral network monitoring equipment that provides remote network monitoring functionality.

| Document No: | Document Name: | Effective from: | 1 July 2013 | Version: 1.1 |
|----------------------|--|------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| GNZ-012 | Transitional Asset Management Plan (AMP) | | , | |
| Responsible Manager: | | Approved by Directore | 10 101/ 2012 | Baga 20 of 61 |
| General Manager | | Approved by Directors. | 18 July 2013 | Fage SU OI OI |

5.0 SERVICE LEVELS

5.1 Key Performance Indicators (KPI's)

GasNet has actively collected a range of performance statistics for many years, some of which have been reported under previous disclosures, and whilst the content of what will be reported in future AMP's, the graphs are provided in the interim.

For consistency all tables cover the last four years as up until 1 July 2009 but a number of the datasets include both Network and GMS data, a legacy of the Company's previous reporting requirements and the requirements under the now superseded Gas Information Disclosure Regulations 1997. Whilst some datasets are clearly network only, others are not. It is therefore planned to disaggregate the combined datasets wherever practical to do so and include them in future AMP publications.

Network performance for previous years, for both planned and unplanned outages, are shown in the following graphs. The key indicators used are those now required under the IDD and include:

- System Average Interruption Duration Index (SAIDI) in minutes per consumer;
- System Average Interruption Frequency Index (SAIFI) in outages per consumer;
- Customer Average Interruption Duration Index (CAIDI) in minutes per outage.

The data shown applies only to outages caused by failures or planned outages on GasNet's network and does not include outages due to the Transmission Company or other upstream parties.



In simple terms SAIDI is a measure of how long the average consumer has been without their gas supply during a particular year.

The high duration of unplanned outages which occurred in 2009/10 and which also flowed through to the beginning of the 2010/11 year was attributed to a single incident in late June 2009 which occurred in St Johns Hill, Wanganui, where a metallic steel water service failed due to corrosion and subsequently eroded an adjacent Low Pressure gas service pipe, eventually causing failure of the gas service pipe. As the water pressure was much greater than the gas pressure, water flowed relatively freely into the pipe until it built up within the Low Pressure gas network causing complete loss of gas supply to consumers within the area. Over the following days after the leaking water service was discovered and repaired, the network was isolated in sections and the water purged out of the pipes.

The subsequent years 2011/12 and 2012/13 are more representative of the typical duration associated with unplanned outages.

In respect of the planned outages, the marked and continual increase is due to a combination of the on-going improvement in data collection methods and an increase in the type and nature of work being performed on the network to improve the consumers supply.



| Document No: | Document Name: | Effective from: | 1 July 2013 | Version: 1.1 |
|----------------------|--|------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| GNZ-012 | Transitional Asset Management Plan (AMP) | | | |
| Responsible Manager: | | Approved by Directors: | 18 July 2013 | Page 31 of 61 |
| General Manager | | Approved by Directors. | 10 July 2013 | Fage ST 01 01 |

In simple terms SAIFI is a measure of the number of times a consumer will experience an interruption to their gas supply during a particular year.

For the reasons outlined above under SAIDI, the high unplanned outages which occurred in the 2009/10 and 2010/11 years was dominated by the St Johns Hill water ingress incident which occurred in late June 2009.



In simple terms CAIDI is a measure of how long an interruption to the gas supply lasted on average during a particular year.



Unaccounted for Gas, or UFG, is the difference between the total volume of gas entering the system at the Sales Gates less the total volume of gas exiting the network where it enters the meter at the Gas Measurement System installed on the consumers' properties.

There are many factors that can effect UFG and whilst losses will occur as the gas is transported through the network, known as Technical Losses, there are numerous other non-network factors than can create adverse UFG quantities e.g. accuracy of the meter in the GMS, the need for retailers to estimate monthly sales to consumers due to the cyclic nature of meter readings. However the value of UFG as a measure of network performance should not be undervalued but considered alongside other measures such as number of gas escapes as shown in the following table.



| Document No: | Document Name: | Effective from: 1 July 2013 V | Version: 1.1 | |
|----------------------|--|-------------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| GNZ-012 | Transitional Asset Management Plan (AMP) | | | |
| Responsible Manager: | | American de la Discoteración | 40 1.1 | Dama 00 af 64 |
| General Manager | | Approved by Directors: | 18 July 2013 | Page 32 of 61 |



Third party is the term used to refer to people and organisations, other than GasNet. In most instances third parties are contractors installing and maintaining other utilities' assets, but it can and does include home and property owners.

Over the last two decades up until 2011 Wanganui had seen unprecedented activity within the road corridor as the Wanganui District Council undertook separation of its stormwater and wastewater systems. Requiring major excavations in most of the Wanganui streets the increase in activity inevitably resulted in an increase in enquiries about and damage to GasNet's buried pipes. Ironically just as the project completed around 2011, the government's fast-tracked Ultra-Fast Broadband project commenced with target completion planned within five years. The lull between the two activities can be seen in the level of enquires shown in the above graph and the general profile of enquiries can also be seen in the graph above on the number of gas escapes. The challenge for GasNet over the next few years will be to minimise the damage to its network which can only be achieved by working closely with the contractors and taking the appropriate corrective actions when adverse events occur.

5.2 Quality of Supply

Currently GasNet has the following project in planning:

| Project or programme | Description |
|--|--|
| Low pressure network pressure uprating | Network pressure up-rating involving raising the LP network pressure from 2 to 5 kPag to enhance the quality of supply at the ICP which allows the consumer additional choice of appliances as many new appliances require 2.5 kPag. |

5.3 Other reliability, Safety and Environment

Currently GasNet has the following projects and programmes in planning:

| Project or programme | Description |
|---|---|
| District Regulator Station Isolation valve project | Installation of isolation valves on all DRS. Includes valves on outlet and inlet mains to provide complete isolation of gas to DRS in the event of an emergency incident. |
| District Regulator Station Monitoring system | Installation of monitoring devices at all DRS to record and transmit data to a central location at GasNet. Equipment monitors DRS operational parameters and transmits alarms real time to a database to activate emergency response. The program has been in place for 5 years and is planned to be completed in 2013. |
| Monitoring and control systems | Installation of security monitoring equipment at Wanganui Sales Gate to enhance the reliability of network supply by providing early detection of unauthorised persons. Installation of security monitoring equipment at DRS to detect unauthorised entry. |

| Document No: Document Name: GNZ-012 Transitional Asset Management Plan (AMP) | | Effective from: | 1 July 2013 | Version: 1.1 |
|--|--|------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| Responsible Manager: General Manager | | Approved by Directors: | 18 July 2013 | Page 33 of 61 |

6.0 NETWORK DEVELOPMENT PLANNING

6.1 General

The network systems are developed through planning, design and construction phases to eliminate or reduce to as low as reasonably practicable all hazards and risks identified in the hazard identification and control process, and to meet functional requirements. Functional requirements include the provision of an integrated supply system capable of meeting consumer demands at all times, as forecast by gas retailers, taking into account safety, operating conditions, and the environment to which the system is exposed.

6.2 System Growth

| Asset Type | Commentary | | | |
|---------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| | | | | |
| Main pipe | No growth forecast. | | | |
| Service pipe | Little or no growth forecast. | | | |
| Stations | No growth forecast. | | | |
| Line valve | No growth forecast. | | | |
| Special crossings | No growth forecast. | | | |
| | MEDIUM PRESSURE | | | |
| Main pipe | Stable level of residential housing development. Growth forecast to remain steady at current levels. | | | |
| Service pipe | Number of new services is expected to remain stable due to increasing consumer demand for gas instant hot water offset by a reducing demand on space heating due to alternatives, in particular heat pumps. | | | |
| Stations | Nothing planned | | | |
| Line valve | Some increased level of growth with the planned sectionalisation of the low and medium pressure networks for emergency network management. | | | |
| Special crossings | Nothing planned | | | |
| | LOW PRESSURE | | | |
| Main pipe | Stable level of residential housing development. High level of existing urban reticulation limits the potential for growth | | | |
| Service pipe | Growth to remain stable due to increasing consumer demand for gas instant hot water being offset by a reducing demand on space heating due to alternatives, in particular heat pumps. | | | |
| Line valve | Some increased level of growth with the planned sectionalisation of the low and medium pressure networks for emergency network management. | | | |
| Special crossings | Nothing planned | | | |
| OTHER ASSETS | | | | |
| Monitoring and control systems | Nothing planned | | | |
| Cathodic protection systems | Nothing planned | | | |
| Other assets (other than above) | Nothing planned | | | |

| Document No: Document Name: GNZ-012 Transitional Asset Management Plan (AMP) | | Effective from: | 1 July 2013 | Version: 1.1 |
|--|--|------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| Responsible Manager: General Manager | | Approved by Directors: | 18 July 2013 | Page 34 of 61 |

6.3 Identified Material Network Development Programmes

6.3.1 Network

Currently GasNet has the following network programmes in place:

| Programme | Description |
|------------------------------------|---|
| Subdivisions | GasNet has for a number of years worked collaboratively with those driving development of new subdivisions (or further stages of existing ones) to provide natural gas reticulation to potential end-users. |
| MP link of Whanganui River bridges | Strategic link of 3 Whanganui River bridges to reinforce MP supply. Provides ability to isolate any bridge crossing in the event of loss of bridge crossing or in a maintenance event requiring shutdown of a bridge. Provides a grid configuration which will increase capacity of MP network from which MP network growth can be made into all areas. Project has been long term commencing in early 1990s and has taken advantage of trench sharing opportunities with other utilities and is scheduled for completion in 2016. |

6.3.2 Non-network

Currently GasNet has the no non-network programmes in place:

6.4 Identified Material Network Development Projects

6.4.1 Network

Currently GasNet has the following network projects in place:

| Project | Description |
|---|--|
| Network Analysis - DRS monitoring project | Installation of equipment at DRS to enable measurement of flow. The equipment will interface into existing telemetry equipment installed at DRS to log and transmit the data to a central computer. The measurement, collection and subsequent analysis of this data will assist future network design, provide utilisation information and aid emergency planning. The project may involve significant modification to DRS installation to fit the new measurement equipment. |
| Network Analysis – Evaluation Tool | To investigate what analytical tools are available to assist GasNet in its modelling and management of network pressure and flow characteristic across networks generally and within systems specifically. This will include an evaluation of comparative features and benefits as such software is very expensive. |

6.4.2 Non-network

Currently GasNet has no non-network projects in place:

| Document No: | Document Name: | Effective from: 1 July 2013 | | Version: 1 1 |
|--|----------------|-----------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| GNZ-012 Transitional Asset Management Plan (AMP) | | Lifective nom. | 1 00ly 2010 | |
| Responsible Manager: | | A | 40 1.1 | Dama 05 af 64 |
| General Manager | | Approved by Directors: | 18 July 2013 | Page 35 of 61 |

7.0 LIFECYCLE ASSET MANAGEMENT PLANNING (MAINTENANCE AND RENEWAL)

7.1 General

The Networks are operated to safely manage the risks identified in the hazard identification, risk assessment and control process and to meet functional requirements. The function requirements include the provision of an integrated supply system capable of meeting consumer demands at all times as forecast by gas retailers and responding to emergency situations as and when they arise. All system operational activities are carried out in accordance with the GasNet's Safety and Operating Plan.

7.2 Asset Replacement and Renewal

Special crossings

| Asset Type | Commentary | | |
|------------------------------|---|--|--|
| INTERMEDIATE PRESSURE SYSTEM | | | |
| Main pipe | Nothing planned | | |
| Service pipe | Nothing planned | | |
| Stations | Some existing station equipment has become obsolete or in other cases replacement parts are becoming uneconomic to procure, requiring replacement with a modern equivalent. Installation of replacement equipment requires modifications to design. Some station enclosure roofs are showing signs of corrosion and will require replacement over 5-10 years. | | |
| Line valve | No replacement of IP valves planned. Many valves are not accessible from the surface and require excavation. Valves identified as strategic to have chambers (risers and lids) installed to enable easy access. | | |
| Special crossings | Nothing planned | | |
| | MEDIUM PRESSURE SYSTEM | | |
| Main pipe | Works planned to identify quantity and location, and to test material to determine life remaining. | | |
| Service pipe | Nothing planned | | |
| Stations | Some regulator equipment installed is becoming obsolete requiring replacement with a modern equivalent. Installation of replacement equipment requires modifications to design. Station enclosures will require refurbishment over 5-10 years. | | |
| Line valve | Nothing planned | | |

| LOW PRESSURE SYSTEM | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| Main pipe Metallic low pressure mains replaced on the basis of condition. Increased I replacement focused on mains with historically high risk of leakage. | | | |
| Service pipe | Metallic services are replaced by polyethylene when the main is replaced. Forecast increasing number of older metallic service replacement on the basis of risk identified. Service pipes located under buildings are high priority for relocation and are replaced and/or relocated as identified. | | |
| Line valve | Nothing planned | | |
| Special crossings | Mechanically jointed LP metallic rail and bridge crossings have elevated safety risk profile and are planned for replacement. The crossings are planned for replacement over the next 10 years. | | |
| | | | |

Nothing planned

| Monitoring and control systems | Nothing planned | |
|--------------------------------|---|--|
| Cathodic protection systems | CP connection terminals at all test points and service connections are planned for replacement over 5 years | |

7.3 Identified Material Lifecycle Asset Management Programmes

| Document No: GNZ-012 | Document Name: Transitional Asset Management Plan (AMP) | Effective from: | 1 July 2013 | Version: 1.1 |
|---------------------------------|--|------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| Responsible Ma General Manag | nager: Jer | Approved by Directors: | 18 July 2013 | Page 36 of 61 |

7.3.1 Network

Currently GasNet has the following network programmes in place:

| Programme | Description |
|-------------------------------|--|
| Replacement of LP non PE | Replacement of LP non PE mains and services. The metals used in the LP network include wrought and cast irons, spiral riveted, spiral welded, Mannesmann and galvanised steels. Mains constructed of each of these materials have their own characteristics. Steel mains are likely to be in good condition provided the coating is intact and joints are sealed and the cast iron mains are generally in good condition provided the joints are sealed. The replacement of the metallic LP mains is prioritised on past and existing leakage patterns and involves all metal types. |
| LP crossings | Review of the condition of these assets and where deemed necessary refurbish accordingly |
| Replacement of service valves | Various types of service valve have been installed on the network over time. Each type of service valve has characteristics that make it more or less suitable for the present duty. Some identified types of valves are replaced when other work is being conducted at the ICP. A program will be developed to identify the type of service valve installed at each ICP and a program for the replacement if required |

7.3.2 Non-Network

Currently GasNet has the following non-network programmes in place:

| Programme | Description |
|--------------------------|---|
| Safety Management System | Following legislative changes, GasNet has implemented a safety management system dealing with public safety and public property protection from gas related GDB activity. This is a strategic programme involving many aspects of operations and associated safe work practices. |
| Regulatory | Following legislative changes, GasNet has implemented a number of Commerce Commission Determinations applicable to GDBs of which information disclosure is but one. This is a strategic programme involving many aspects of business practices, documentation and reporting. |

7.4 Identified Material Lifecycle Asset Management Projects

7.4.1 Network

Currently GasNet has the following network projects in place:

| Project | Description |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Data capture of asset information | GasNet is expanding the asset data types and attributes thereof it captures. Within the project planner, a project exists to increase field team based data capture 'at source', and via work package documentation enhancements. |

7.4.2 Non-network

Currently GasNet has the following non-network projects in place:

| Project | Description |
|---------------|---|
| Vehicle fleet | On-going replacement of vehicle fleet – GasNet's fleet of vehicles are utilised to meet operational and capital activities across the five networks. Some vehicles are customised to enable field staff on site access to specialised equipment necessary to undertake planned works and to respond to call-out and emergency situations. |

| Document No: | Document Name: | Effective from: | 1. July 2013 | Version: 1.1 |
|----------------------|--|------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| GNZ-012 | GNZ-012 Transitional Asset Management Plan (AMP) | | 10419 2010 | |
| Responsible Manager: | | Approved by Directory | 10 101 2012 | Dama 27 of 64 |
| General Manager | | Approved by Directors: | 18 July 2013 | Page 37 of 61 |

8.0 RISK MANAGEMENT

8.1 Overview

GasNet's risk management process aligns with ISO 31000 to manage risk across the organisation. The risk management process provides a systematic approach for controlling hazards to an acceptable level, or developing appropriate control strategies and measures to minimise the level of risk. The risk management process follows a hierarchy of control whose principle objective is to eliminate hazards. If this is not practical, risks are managed as low as reasonably practicable (ALARP).

8.2 Hazard Identification

All hazards associated with the network assets are systematically identified, described, and documented through hazard identification processes. This covers not only those hazards that have the potential to harm the public or damage public property but also those that affect GasNet personnel and contractors and the environment. For existing assets this process is conducted on or before a predefined review date and whenever changes occur. For new assets the process is initiated in the design phase prior to assets being constructed and going into service. The characteristics of each hazard, its environment, and the exposure of people and property to it, are recorded. The results of the formal hazard and risk management processes are recorded in GasNet's Risk Register.

8.3 Risk Assessment

A qualitative risk assessment is carried out on each hazard in accordance with ISO 31000 to determine whether it presents a significant risk of causing harm to persons, property or the environment. Risks determined to be low or negligible or demonstrated to be ALARP are deemed to be acceptable risks. For those risks that lie above the low or negligible level the costs and benefits are compared to establish the achievable reduction in risk magnitude to meet ALARP requirements.

8.4 Hazard Control

Subsequent to the identification of significant hazards, all practicable steps are identified and taken to control those hazards in the following order:

- 1. Elimination;
- 2. Isolation; or
- 3. Minimisation.

The extent of the controls applied is decided by the:

- Level of risk (high, medium or low) that the hazard represents;
- Costs and benefits of applying the control measures; and
- Current body of knowledge, for example good and accepted practices.

The controls applied to each hazard are designed to lower the likelihood of harm or property damage occurring so that the residual risk is as low as reasonably practicable. The effectiveness of the controls applied to each significant hazard is assessed on a regular basis through the implementation of a monitoring and verification process.

8.5 Coverage

The hazard identification, risk assessment and hazard control processes are carried out to address:

- (a) Hazards or potential hazards identified during the design, construction, commissioning, operation, maintenance, failure mode, and decommissioning of assets;
- (b) The security and control of access to the assets; and
- (c) The implementation and management of contingency plans for emergency situations that may affect, or be affected by the assets.

8.6 Review

In determining when hazard and risk reviews are conducted to test the continuing effectiveness of control measures taken, assessments are updated for the following reasons:

- Implementation of audit findings;
- Proposed changes to the assets that may change the nature or scale of hazards, the operating parameters or asset design;
- Changes to the environment in which the assets are operated;
- Incidents and other experience from elsewhere in the system, or from other supply systems, and from anywhere else that might be relevant;
- Following an emergency;
- Performing non-routine activities;
- Following changes in legislation; and
- The passage of time.

| Document No: | Document Name: | Effective from: 1.1 | | Version: 1.1 |
|--|----------------|------------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| GNZ-012 Transitional Asset Management Plan (AMP) | | | | |
| Responsible Manager: | | American de la Discoteración | 40 1.1. 0040 | Dama 00 af 64 |
| General Manager | | Approved by Directors: | 18 July 2013 | Page 38 0f 61 |

8.7 Information Availability

The information from the identification and control of hazards is made available as appropriate to parties working adjacent to or, in the vicinity of the hazard, or responding to emergencies on the Network in accordance with GasNet's Risk Management Policy supported by the suite of Safe Work Procedures (SWP's).

8.8 Some Specific Observations

GasNet undertakes on-going monitoring across all networks for any options to increase network security. This could be achieved by implementing system looping and/or construction of additional sale gate connections to the high pressure transmission pipeline owned and operated by Vector Limited. Opportunities tend to be rare given the inherent reliability of networks in general and the very high capital costs involved in looping and sale gate construction.

As part of implementation of a complete Safety Management System regime for public safety and public property protection in proximity to GDB assets, GasNet recently achieved Safety Management System accreditation becoming the first GDB in New Zealand to gain this. Inherent to this success was satisfactory evidence of competencies, processes and documentation applicable to the Safety Management System requirements. While the Safety Management System in itself is one aspect of GasNet's overall regulatory requirements, this accreditation provides a level of formal recognition of the practices more generally undertaken at GasNet.

9.0 ACTIONS COMPLETED

In accordance with clause 2.12.8(2)(g) GasNet is required to identify any actions it has completed in order to conform to the requirements in clause 2.6.1 which relates to the provisions of a fully compliant Asset Management Plan as compared to the Transitional Asset management Plan GasNet has elected to provide.

Other than the provision of this document as its first AMP, there are no other actions of significance worthy of mention since the majority of effort has gone into production of this document and the associated collation of information.

However it is expected that in conjunction with the improvement plan discussed below, the progressive enhancement and development of systems to support GasNet's asset management system and its Asset Management Plan, subsequent revisions of this AMP will provide details of actions completed since publication of the previous AMP.

10.0 IMPROVEMENT PLAN

In accordance with clause 2.12.8(2)(f) GasNet is required to identify where it considers it does not yet conform to the requirements of clause 2.6.1 which relates to the provisions of a fully compliant Asset Management Plan as compared to the Transitional Asset management Plan GasNet has elected to provide.

It is GasNet's view that other than its inability to provide the information required in Schedule 12b: Forecast Utilisation, that it complies with the requirements and is well placed to provide evidence of that compliance by publishing a fully compliant Asset management Plan by the end of the first regulatory period at the latest. In addition to the need to formalise its asset management strategies and practices referred to elsewhere within this AMP, there is a need to review its information systems to align with the information requirements under the IDD wherever possible and practicable to do so.

The immediate priority is to review and approve the existing Asset Management Policy with particular consideration to stakeholder expectations and to ensure compliance with the regulatory requirements, particularly in respect to the IDD. Once approved and communicated throughout the Company, the policy will provide the framework under which GasNet will progressively formalise its asset management strategies and practices currently embedded within other documentation and systems. It is inevitable that opportunities for improvement will present themselves and similarly any deficiencies or potential non-conformances quickly become apparent. These opportunities will be addressed as they are identified and managed accordingly.

In respect to non-compliance with Schedule 12b: Forecast Utilisation, GasNet is planning in the 2013/14 financial year to assess the viability of acquiring the necessary resources in-house or from an external service provider to enable network modelling and analysis. Whilst this was considered a logical next step once the Geographical Information Systems (GIS) was fully functional, it was never considered a certainty as it would be subject to a business case to ensure it would be cost effective. GasNet has already identified a potential software provider and is aware of the upfront cost to acquire the product, but has yet to assess the ability of its GIS to provide the required inputs or the resourcing needs.

| Document No: GNZ-012 | Document Name: Transitional Asset Management Plan (AMP) | Effective from: | 1 July 2013 | Version: 1.1 |
|---------------------------------|--|------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| Responsible Ma General Manag | nager: jer | Approved by Directors: | 18 July 2013 | Page 39 of 61 |

Appendix 1 – Glossary of Terms

| AMP | Transitional Asset Management Plan |
|--------|---|
| ALARP | As Low As Reasonably Practicable |
| API | American Petroleum Institute |
| Capex | Capital Expenditure |
| CNG | Compressed Natural Gas |
| СР | Cathodic Protection |
| CY | Current Year |
| DRS | District Regulator Station |
| DPP | Default Price-Quality Path |
| HDPE | High Density Polyethylene |
| ICP | Installation Control Point |
| IDD | Gas Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012 |
| IP | Intermediate Pressure |
| ISO | International Standards Organisation |
| GasNet | GasNet Limited |
| GDB | Gas Distribution Business |
| GIS | Geographic Information System |
| GJ | Gigajoule |
| GMS | Gas Measurement System |
| IIMM | International Infrastructure Management Manual |
| kPag | kiloPascal gauge |
| LP | Low Pressure |
| MDPE | Medium Density Polyethylene |
| MLV | Main Line Valve |
| MP | Medium Pressure |
| Opex | Operational Expenditure |
| PAS-55 | Public Available Specification 55 (part 1:2008 and 2:2008) Asset Management |
| PE | Polyethylene |
| s53ZD | Reference to clause 53ZD in Commerce Act (1986 and amendments) |

| Document No: | Document Name: | Effective from: | 1 July 2013 | Version: 1.1 |
|--|----------------|------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| GNZ-012 Transitional Asset Management Plan (AMP) | | | , | |
| Responsible Manager: | | Annuound by Directory | 10 1010 2012 | Dama 40 af 64 |
| General Manager | | Approved by Directors: | 18 July 2013 | Page 40 of 61 |

Appendix 2 – Transitional AMP Provisions Applicable to GasNet Limited

Clause 2.12.8 of the Commerce Commission's Gas Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012 defines the transitional provisions that apply to GasNet if it elects not to publicly disclose a fully compliant AMP under clauses 2.6.1 and 2.6.2 of the determination.

The following is an extract taken directly from the Commerce Commission's determination, which can be downloaded in its entirety from their website at www.comcom.govt.nz/gas-pipelines-2. Any uncertainty regarding the terms used in the extract or its context may be able to be resolved by referring to the source document.

| 2.12.8 | Notwith applies | standing to GasN | g any requirements set out in clauses 2.6.1, 2.6.2, 2.6.3 and 2.6.4, the following transitional provision Net Limited in respect of each disclosure year before and during the first DPP regulatory period - |
|--------|--------------------|---------------------|---|
| | (1) | lf Gas elect | sNet Limited has not publicly disclosed an AMP under clauses 2.6.1 and 2.6.2 then GasNet Limited may to- |
| | | (a) | not comply with clauses 2.6.1 and 2.6.2 in the current disclosure year; and |
| | | (b) | complete and publicly disclose before the start of the disclosure year a transitional AMP that meets the requirements of subclause 2.12.8(2) below; |
| | (2) | The t | ransitional AMP must- |
| | | (a) | relate to the gas distribution services supplied by the GDB; |
| | | (b) | be identifiable as a transitional AMP prepared pursuant to clause 2.12.8(2) of this determination; |
| | | (c) | include the minimum requirements set out in subclause 2.12.8(3); |
| | | (d) | include the forecast information set out in clause 2.6.5; |
| | | (e) | include the Report on Asset Management Maturity in Schedule 13; |
| | | (f) | identify where the GDB considers the AMP does not yet conform to the requirements in clause 2.6.1, and set out the actions the GDB is taking to ensure the AMP will conform before the end of the first DPP regulatory period ; |
| | | (g) | identify any actions the GDB has completed in order to conform to the requirements in clause 2.6.1; |
| | (3) | The t | ransitional AMP must include the following- |
| | | (a) | a summary that provides a brief overview of the contents and highlights information that the GDB considers significant; |
| | | (b) | details of the background and objectives of the GDB's asset management and planning processes; |
| | | (c) | details of the AMP planning period, which must cover at least a projected period of 10 years commencing with the disclosure year following the date on which the AMP is required to be disclosed; |
| | | (d) | the date that it was approved by the directors ; |
| | | (e) | a description of stakeholder interests, as set out in subclause 3.7 of attachment A; |
| | | (f) | a description of the accountabilities and responsibilities for asset management, as set out in subclause 3.8 of attachment A; |
| | | (g) | an overview of asset management strategy and delivery; |
| | | (h) | an overview of systems and information management data; |
| | | (i) | an overview of asset management documentation, controls and review processes; |
| | | (j) | details of the assets covered; |
| | | (k) | a clear identification or definition of a set of asset management performance indicators; |
| | | (I) | a description of network development plans and lifecycle management processes, covering material projects and programmes across the planning period; |
| | | (m) | details of risk policies, assessment and mitigation. |
| L | | | |

| Document No: | Document Name: | Effective from: | 1 July 2013 | Version: 1.1 |
|----------------|--|-----------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| GNZ-012 | Transitional Asset Management Plan (AMP) | | | |
| Responsible Ma | nager: | American de las Dimensiones | 40 1.1 | Dama 44 a4 64 |
| General Manag | ger | Approved by Directors: | 18 July 2013 | rage 41 01 61 |

| ecast of the | C/+10 30 lun 73 | | 113 | 517 | | 67 | - 54 | 122 | 794 | 975 | | | 975 | | 975 | CY+10 30 Jun 23 | 94 | 35 | 2 | 56 | | 45 | 101 | 150 | 810 | | CY+10 | 30 Jun 23 | 19 | 7 | - 8/ | | 11 | 9 | 134 | 30 165 |
|--|--|--|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|---|--|--|-------------------------------|---|------------------|---|---|----|------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|--|-------------------|---|----------------------------|--|---|--------------------|-----------------------|---|-----------------|----------------|---|---------------|--|--------------------------------------|---|--|--|---|
| 3 Iso required is a for | C/+9 30 lun 23 | | 110 | 505 | • | 65 | . 53 | 118 | 774 | 951 | | | 951 | | 951 | <i>CY+9</i> 30 Jun 22 | 93 | 34 | ł | 55 | | 45 | 100 | 150 | 804 | | CV+9 | 30 Jun 22 | 17 | 9 | | - | 10 | 2 00 7 | 120 | 27 147 |
| . <mark>Net Limited</mark> 13 – 30 June 202 minal dollar terms. A | CY+8 30 hun 21 | | 108 | 494 | • | 64 | · 6 | 116 | 757 | 1/4 932 | - | | - 932 | | 932 | CY+8 30 Jun 21 | 93 | 33 | - | 55 | 8 | 45 | 100 | 150 | 801 | | CV+8 | 30 Jun 21 | 15 | n (| | - | 6 ' | 7 | 106 | 24 131 |
| Gas 1 July 20 constant price and no | CY+7 20 Lun 20 | | 105 | 482 | • | 62 | · 5 | 113 | 737 | 1/1 909 | - | | - 606 | | 606 | CY+7 30 Jun 20 | 92 | 32 | 1 | 55 | | 45 | 99 645 | 150 | 795 | | CV+7 | 30 Jun 20 | 13 | υ | 09 | - | ∞ ' | 9 | 14 92 | 21 114 |
| be expressed in both | CV+6 30 Lini 19 | | 35 | 471 | • | 61 | - 12 | 111 | 719 | 108 887 | - | | - 887 | | 887 | CY+6 30 Jun 19 | 91 | 31 | - | 54 | | 45 | 99 640 | 150 | 790 | - | CV+6 | 30 Jun 19 | 11 | 4 | - 52 | - | - | 9 | 12 | 18 97 |
| ompany Name Ianning Period MP. The forecast is to | CY+5 20 Lim 18 | | 93 | 452 | • | 55 | - 44 | 66 | 677 | 843 | | | - 843 | | 843 | <i>CY+5</i> 30 Jun 18 | 84 | 30 | - | 50 | | 40 | 90 614 | 150 | 764 | | CV+5 | 30 Jun 18 | 6 | e t | 42 | | ' u | 4 | 5 63 | 15 79 |
| C AMP P | CV+4 30 lun 17 | | 87 | 433 | ' | 54 | - 43 | 97 | 650 | 834 | - | | 834 | | 834 | CY+4 30 Jun 17 | 80 | 30 | | 50 | | 40 | 90 600 | 170 | 770 | | CY+4 | 30 Jun 17 | 7 | 2 | - 33 | - | 4 - | 1 00 | 50 | 14 64 |
| e supporting informi atory Notes). | CV+3 30 Iun 16 | | 37 | 308 | • | 170 | - 43 | 213 | 639 | 181 820 | | | 820 | | 820 | <i>CY+3</i> 30 Jun 16 | 81 | 30 | | 160 | | 40 | 200 | 170 | 771 | | CV+3 | 30 Jun 16 | 5 | 2 | 18 | - | 10 | ς | 13 38 | 11 49 |
| be consistent with th a (Mandatory Explan | C ¹⁺² 30 lun 15 | | 78 | 302 | · | 167 | - 42 | 208 | 616 | 104 720 | | | 720 | | 720 | <i>CY+2</i> 30 Jun 15 | 75 | 26 | · · | 160 | | 40 | 200 | 100 | 691 | | CV+2 | 30 Jun 15 | 3 | т (| - 17 | - | - | 2 | 8 25 | 4 29 |
| The forecasts should sssets in Schedule 14 | CY+1 30 hin 14 | | 77 | 402 | · | 32 | ' 6£ | 70 | 576 | 696 | | | - | | 696 | CY+1 30 Jun 14 | 75 | 26 304 | | 31 | | 38 | 564 564 | 118 | 682 | - | CV+1 | 30 Jun 14 | 2 | | ∞ ' | - | F ' | | 12 | 2 14 |
| ear planning period. ts of expenditure on a | Jurrent Year CY 30 Linn 13 | 00 (nominal dollars) | 70 | 384 | ' | 91 | - 27 | 118 | 601 | 677 | - | | - 677 | | 677 | Current Year CY 30 Jun 13 | 00 (in constant price: 70 | 29 | 5 | 91 | 1 | 27 | 118 | 76 | 677 | | Current Year CY | 30 Jun 13 | - 00 | 1 | | - | | | | 1 1 |
| CAPITAL EXPENDITURE a seet for the current disdosure year and a 10 tween constant price and nominal dollar forect | ju, was and ad | | | | | | ment | Ht. | | | | . | | | | for year ended | с+ <u> </u> | | | | | ment | ± | <u> </u> | | ets (where known) | | for year ended | tant price forecasts | | | | | ment | | |
| EDULE 11a: REPORT ON FORECAST healte requires a breakdown of forecast expandture of of commissioned assets (i.e., the value of has additions) must provide explanatory comment on the difference be | formation is not part of audited disclosure information. | 11a(i): Expenditure on Assets Forecast | Consumer connection Suction growth | Asset replacement and renewal | As set relocations | Reliability, safety and environment: Quality of supply | Legislative and regulatory Other reliability: safety and environn | Total reliability, safety and environmen | Expenditure on network assets | INOT-INETWORK ASSETS Expenditure on assets | - - - - | pius cost of tinancing less Value of capital contributions | plus Value of vested assets Capital expenditure forecast | | Value of commissioned assets | | Consumer connection | System growth As set rentariant and renewal | Asset relocations | Reliability, safety and environment: Quality of supply | Legislative and regulatory | Other reliability, safety and environn | Total reliability, safety and environmen Expenditure on network assets | Non-network assets | Expenditure on assets | Subcomponents of expenditure on ass Research and development | | | Difference between nominal and const Consumer connection | System growth | Asset replacement and renewal Asset relocations | Reliability, safety and environment: | Quality of supply Legislative and regulatory | Other reliability, safety and environn | i otal reliability, sarety ana environmen Expenditure on network assets | Non-network assets Expenditure on assets |
| SCHI This sc value c GDBs n | This in sch ref z | ر م م | 10 | 12 | 13 | 15 | 16 | 18 | 19 | 21 | 22 | 24 | 25 26 | 27 | 28 | 30 31 | 32 33 | 34 | 36 | 37 38 | 39 | 40 | 41 | 43 | 44 | 45 46 | 47 48 | 49 | 50 51 | 52 | 53 54 | 55 | 56 57 | 58 | 59 60 | 61 62 |
| Document No: GNZ-012 | Document Transition | Nan al A | ne: | et l | Ma | nag | jen | ner | nt F | Plar | n (A | MF | P) | | | | | | E | Effe | ctiv | ve 1 | fro | m: | | | | 1 Jı | uly 2 | 013 | 3 | /er: | sior | າ: 1 | .1 | |

Appendix 3.1 – GDB AMP Information Disclosure Schedules 11-13

| Document No: | Document Name: | Effective from | 1 1010 2012 | Version: 1.1 |
|----------------|--|------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| GNZ-012 | Transitional Asset Management Plan (AMP) | Enective from. | T July 2013 | version. 1.1 |
| Responsible Ma | nager: | Approved by Directory | 19 July 2012 | Page 42 of 61 |
| General Manag | ler | Approved by Directors. | 10 July 2013 | Fage 42 01 01 |
| | | | | |



| Document No: | Document Name: | Effective from | 1 100 2012 | Varaiani 1.1 | |
|----------------|--|------------------------|--------------|---------------|--|
| GNZ-012 | Transitional Asset Management Plan (AMP) | Ellective from. | 1 July 2013 | version. 1.1 | |
| Responsible Ma | nager: | Approved by Directory | 19 July 2012 | Page 42 of 61 | |
| General Manag | ger | Approved by Directors. | 18 July 2013 | Page 43 of 61 | |



| Document No: | Document Name: | Effective from: | 1 101/ 2012 | Vorsion: 1.1 |
|----------------|--|------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| GNZ-012 | Transitional Asset Management Plan (AMP) | Ellective nom. | 1 July 2013 | version. 1.1 |
| Responsible Ma | nager: | Approved by Directore | 19 101/2012 | Dage 44 of 61 |
| General Manag | ger | Approved by Directors. | 18 July 2013 | Fage 44 01 01 |



| Document No: | Document Name: | Effective from: | 1 101/2 2012 | Vorsion: 1.1 |
|----------------|--|------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| GNZ-012 | Transitional Asset Management Plan (AMP) | Ellective from. | 1 July 2013 | version. 1.1 |
| Responsible Ma | nager: | Annual by Directory | 10 1010 2012 | Dama 45 of 64 |
| General Manag | ger | Approved by Directors: | 18 July 2013 | Page 45 of 61 |
| | | | | |



| Document No: | Document Name: | Effective from | 1 1010 2012 | Varaiani 1.1 | |
|-----------------|--|-----------------------------|--------------|---------------|--|
| GNZ-012 | Transitional Asset Management Plan (AMP) | Ellective nom. | 1 July 2013 | version. 1.1 | |
| Responsible Mar | nager: | American di bas Dise stance | 40 1.1 | Dama 40 at 64 | |
| General Manage | er | Approved by Directors: | 18 July 2013 | Page 46 0f 61 | |

| Inte Edition Inte 2023 Inte 2023 Inte 2023 Inte 2 |
|---|
|---|

DO NOT USE – SCHEDULE CONTAINS ERRORS WHICH HAVE BEEN CORRECTED IN THE FOLLOWING PAGE (Updated 18 December 2013)

| Document No: GNZ-012 | Document Name: Transitional Asset Management Plan (AMP) | Effective from: | 1 July 2013 | Version: 1.1 |
|---------------------------------|--|------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| Responsible Ma General Manag | nager: jer | Approved by Directors: | 18 July 2013 | Page 47 of 61 |

| HEDULE 11b: REPORT ON FORECAST OPERATION schedule requires a breakdown of forecast operational expenditure for the semant provide explanatory comment on the difference between contratipitic information is not part of audited disclosure information. Operational Expenditure Forecast Service interruptions, incidents and emergencies Routine and corrective maintenance and inspection Assert epiacement and network support Business support Non-network oper Service interruptions, incidents and emergencies Routines support Non-network oper Service interruptions, incidents and emergencies Routines and corrective maintenance and inspection Assert epiacement and renewal Non-network oper Network oper Service interruptions, incidents and emergencies Routines of corrective maintenance and inspection Assert epiacement and renewal Network oper Routines and development. Non-network oper System operation and network support Business cuport Non-network oper System operation and network support Routines and development. Non-network oper Subformed and development. Difference between nominal and real forecasts Consist interviewent and menession | AL EXPENDITUR: and nominal dollar oper eand nominal dollar oper eand nominal dollar oper and a 10 ye and | E ar planning period. The atoma expenditure fit and in the atoma expenditure fit and | reforecasts should be recasts in Schedule 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 0, un 15, 2, 3, 0, un 15, 2, 3, 0, un 15, 3, 0, 1, 1, 1, 2, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, | al (Mandatory Explanation) a (Mandatory Explanation) c (Y+3 a) Jun 15 c (Y+3 a) Jun 15 c (Y+3 b) a) 20 Jun 15 c (Y+3 b) a) 20 Jun 15 c (Y+3 c | AMP AMP (atory Notes). CY+4 30 Jun 17 201 m 17 30 Jun 17 40 | Company Name danning Period Cr+5 30 Jun 18 Cr+5 30 Jun 18 21 21 21 21 21 21 20 20 21 21 20 20 21 21 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 | The forecast is to be concernent is tobe concernent is to be concernent is to be concernent is to be co | 11/11/ 11/11/ 11/11/ C(+7 30 Jun 20 12/37 12/37 12/37 12/37 12/37 12/36 12/37 | GasNet Limited 2013 - 30 June 2013 - 30 June 2013 - 30 June 0 Jun 21 47 47 1052 1052 1053 1053 1052 1053 1054 1054 1054 1054 1054 1054 1054 1054 1054 1054 1054 1054 1054 1055 1056 < | 2023 2023 2024 2014 terms. 2015 2015 2015 2015 2015 2015 2015 2015 | Cr+10 Cr+10 30 Jum 23 40 13 13 1095 13 10 1095 13 1095 13 1095 13 1095 13 1095 13 1095 13 1095 13 1095 13 1095 13 1095 13 1095 13 1005 13 1005 13 1005 13 1005 13 1005 13 1005 13 1005 13 1005 13 1005 1 |
|---|--|---|--|---|---|--|---|--|--|---|--|
| Service interruptions, incluents and emergencies Roucine and corrective maintenance and inspection Asset replacement and renewal Network opex | | · · · · · | 6 | m ω ' σ | 4 7 11 | 14 , 9 14 | 11 17 | 6 1 1 | 7 15 - 22 | 8 17 - | 9 19 28 |
| System operations and network support Business support Non-network opex Operational expenditure | | 18 18 18 43 46 | 33 43 76 82 | 47 63 110 119 | 62 82 144 155 | 76 102 178 192 | 91 122 213 230 | 106 142 248 267 | 121 163 284 306 | 137 184 321 346 | 153 206 359 387 |

Updated 18 December 2013

| Document No: GNZ-012 | Document Name: Transitional Asset Management Plan (AMP) | Effective from: | 1 July 2013 | Version: 1.1 |
|---------------------------------|--|------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| Responsible Ma General Manag | nager: jer | Approved by Directors: | 18 July 2013 | Page 48 of 61 |

| sch r | | and tion by asset class as at the ormation should be consistent v | start of the forecast year. The data a with the information provided in the | iccuracy a AMP and | ssessment relate the expenditure | AI s to the percentag on assets forecast | AP Plannin e values discl in Schedule 1 | g <i>Period</i> [losed in the 11a. | asset conditio | L olumn | s. Also requirec | 30 June 2023 is a forecast of the second second the second seco | ne percentage of |
|-------|---|---|--|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|--|---|---|----------------|------------|------------------|--|---|
| 7 | | | | | | Asse | t condition a | it start of p | lanning period | 1 (percent | age of units by | grade) | |
| | | | | | | | | | 5 | | | Data accuracy | % of asset forecast to k replaced in ne |
| × × | Operating Pressure Asse | t category | Asset class | Units | Grade 1 | Grade 2 | Gra | de 3 | Grade 4 | Gri | de unknown | (1-4) | years |
| 10 | Intermediate Pressure Intermediate Pressure Ma | in pipe in nine | IP PE main pipe IP steel main nine | | /A | N/A | N/A | | N/A | N/A | | 4/A | |
| 11 | Intermediate Pressure Ma | in pipe | IP other main pipe | k K | /A | N/A | N/A | - | V/A | N/A | | 4/A | |
| 12 | Intermediate Pressure Ser | vice pipe | IP PE service pipe | km | /A | N/A | N/A | - | N/A | N/A | | 4/A | |
| 13 | Intermediate Pressure Sei | vice pipe | IP steel service pipe | km | | | | | 1 | %00 | | | 2 |
| 14 | Intermediate Pressure Sei | vice pipe | IP other service pipe | km | /A | N/A | N/A | _ | N/A | N/A | | 4/A | |
| 15 | Intermediate Pressure Sta | tions | Intermediate pressure DRS | No. | | | | 2% | | 98% | | | 4 |
| 16 | Intermediate Pressure | e valve | IP line valves | No. | | | | | Ţ | %00 | | | 2 |
| 17 | Intermediate Pressure Spi | ecial crossings | IP crossings | No. | | | | | Ţ | %00 | | | 2 |
| 18 | Medium Pressure Ma | in pipe | MP PE main pipe | km | | | | | Ţ | %00 | | | 2 |
| 19 | Medium Pressure Ma | iin pipe | MP steel main pipe | km | | | | | 1, | %00 | | | 2 |
| 20 | Medium Pressure Ma | iin pipe | MP other main pipe | km | /A | N/A | N/A | - | N/A | N/A | | 4/A | |
| 21 | Medium Pressure Sei | vice pipe | MP PE service pipe | km | | | | | 1, | %00 | | | 2 |
| 22 | Medium Pressure Sei | vice pipe | MP steel service pipe | km | | | | | 1 | %00 | | | 2 |
| 23 | Medium Pressure Sei | vice pipe | MP other service pipe | km | /A | N/A | N/A | _ | N/A | N/A | | 4/A | |
| 24 | Medium Pressure Sta | tions | Medium pressure DRS | No. | | | | 2% | | 98% | | | 4 |
| 25 | Medium Pressure | e valve | MP line valves | No. | | | | | 4 | %00 | | | 2 |
| 26 | Medium Pressure Spi | ecial crossings | MP special crossings | No. | | | | 5% | | 95% | | | 2 |
| 27 | Low Pressure Ma | iin pipe | LP PE main pipe | km | | | | | 1 | %00 | | | 2 |
| 28 | Low Pressure Ma | iin pipe | LP steel main pipe | km | | | % | 94% | | | | | 2 |
| 29 | Low Pressure Ma | iin pipe | LP other main pipe | km | | | % | 94% | | | | | 2 |
| 30 | Low Pressure Sei | vice pipe | LP PE service pipe | km | | | | | ų, | %00 | | | 2 |
| 31 | Low Pressure Ser | vice pipe | LP steel service pipe | km | | 3(| 3% | 70% | | | | | 2 |
| 32 | Low Pressure Sei | vice pipe | LP other service pipe | km | /A | N/A | N/A | - | N/A | N/A | | 4/A | |
| 33 | Low Pressure | e valve | LP line valves | No. | | | | | 1 | %00 | | | 4 |
| 34 | Low Pressure Spi | ecial crossings | LP special crossings | No. | | | | 10% | | %06 | | | 2 |
| 35 | AII Mc | onitoring & control systems | Remote terminal units | No. | | | | | 1 | %00 | | | 4 |
| 36 | All Cat | chodic protection systems | Cathodic protection | No. | | | | | ť. | %00 | | | 4 |

| Document No: | Document Name: | Effective from | 1 July 2012 | Versien: 1.1 |
|----------------|--|------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| GNZ-012 | Transitional Asset Management Plan (AMP) | Ellective nom. | 1 July 2013 | version. 1.1 |
| Responsible Ma | nager: | Approved by Directore | 10 101/ 2012 | Baga 40 of 61 |
| General Manag | ger | Approved by Directors. | 18 July 2013 | Fage 49 01 01 |

| ORT ON FORECAST UTILISATION Minimum of current and forecast utilisation (for heavly utilised pipelines) consistent with the information provided in the AMP1 of Heavly Utilised Pipelines Normani Operating operating operating researce (NOT) (NIND) Network Fressure system (RPa) (NIND) (Scrinh) (Scr | Company Name Gastret Limited AMP Planning Period 1July 2013 – 30 June 2023 ind the demand forecast in schedule S12c. | Utilisation | dty current tear of CM-3 CM-4 CM-5 CM-5 CM-5 CM-5 CM-5 CM-5 CM-5 CM-5 | Niaminov or rinc ve a <i>ik i ri inc ve a k or incl ve a ik est un c ve a k</i> est un <i>c ve a k est u m s est un <i>c ve a k est u m s est u m </i></i></i></i></i></i></i> | KPa | semb | | semh | KPa | scmh | KPa | semh | KPa | scmh Los | Krea Scmh | kPa | scmh KPa | guration for each year, including the effect of any new investment in the pressure system. | | | |
|--|--|--------------------|---|--|-----|------|---|------|-----|------|-----|------|-----|-------------|--------------|-----|-------------|--|-----------------|-----------|-------------------------|
| ORT ON FORECAST UTILISATION on of current and forecast utilisation (for heavity utilised pipelines) consistent with the information prov of Heavity Utilised Pipelines Not Heavity | ided in the AMP and | | Remaining capacity at MinOP | (scmin) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | cted system configur | | | |
| ORT ON FORECAST UTILISATION Minimum of Heavity Utilised Pipelines Tof Heavity Utilised Pipe | he information prov | | Total capacity at MinOP | (scmn) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | accur given the expe | | | |
| ORT ON FORECAST UTILISATION Own of current and forecast utilisation (for heavily utilised pipelines of heavily Utilised Pipelines Network Netw | ss) consistent with t | | Minimum operating pressure (MinOP) | (RFd) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | lisation forecast to c | | | precast Utilisation |
| ORT ON FORECAST UTILISAT own of current and forecast utilisation (for) Network Pressure system Network Bressure system isoton for a bestingtes bestingtes. Year 1-5 goly enquires bestingtes. Year 1-5 goly enduires bestingte | TION teavily utilised pipelin | | Nominal operating pressure (NOP) | (P-4) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 5 figures show the uti | | | (3.3 - Schedule 12b: F |
| ORT ON FORE and of current and fo hetwork hetwork lisotron figures may upply enquiries aumptions | CAST UTILISAT | ed Pipelines | | Pressure system | | | | | | | | | | | | | | be estimates. Year 1–5 | | | lause 10 and Appendix |
| | ORT ON FORE wn of current and fo | of Heavily Utilise | 1 | INELWOLK | | | T | | | | | | | | | | | ilisation figures may | upply enquiries | sumptions | et Management Plan o |

| Document No: | Document Name: | Effective from | 1 100 2012 | Varsian: 1.1 |
|----------------|--|------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| GNZ-012 | Transitional Asset Management Plan (AMP) | Effective from. | T July 2013 | version. 1.1 |
| Responsible Ma | nager: | Approved by Directory | 19 July 2012 | Page 50 of 61 |
| General Manag | ger | Approved by Directors. | 18 July 2013 | Fage 50 01 01 |

| | | | | | CarMat | | |
|-------------------|--|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| | | | | | | | |
| | | AMP | Planning Period | | 1 July 2013 – | 30 June 2023 | |
| SC This | HEDULE 12C: REPORT ON FORECAST DEMAND: schedule requires a forecast of new connections (by consumer type), peak demand and | d energy volumes for th | - ne disclosure year and | d a 5 year planning p | beriod. The forecasts s | should be | |
| cor util | sistent with the supporting information set out in the AMP as well as the assumptions sation forecasts in Schedule 12b. | used in developing the | expenditure forecast | s in Schedule 11a ar | id Schedule 11b and t | the capacity and | |
| 3 <i>C</i> /1 / 7 | 12c(i) Consumer Connections | | | | | | |
| ~~~ | Number of ICPs connected in year by consumer type | | | | | | |
| 9 10 | | Current year CY 30 Jun 13 | CY+1 30 Jun 14 | CY+2 30 Jun 15 | CY+3 30 Jun 16 | CY+4 30 Jun 17 | CY+5 30 Jun 18 |
| 11 | Consumer types defined by GDB | | | | | | |
| 12 | M6 (Active) | 9,514 | 9,529 | 9,544 | | | 1 |
| | M6 (Inactive) | 289 | 1 | | | 1 | • |
| | M12 | 266 | 267 | 268 | | 1 | |
| | M23 | 69 | 71 | 73 | 1 | 1 | • |
| | M33 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| | M43 | 29 | 29 | 29 | - | - | - |
| | M85 | 22 | 22 | 22 | | - | |
| | M142 | 11 | 11 | 11 | - | - | |
| | M200 | 2 | 2 | 2 | - | - | ' |
| | M450 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | ' |
| | Large Site | 12 | 12 | 12 | - | | |
| | G12 | 1 | 1 | | 9,828 | 9,844 | 9,860 |
| 13 | G40 | - | 1 | | 88 | 90 | 92 |
| 14 | G180 | | 1 | 1 | 63 | 63 | 63 |
| 15 | G450 | - | 1 | - | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| 16 | G1000 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| 17 | Total | 10,228 | 9,957 | 9,975 | 9,993 | 10,011 | 10,029 |
| 18 | | | | | | | |
| 19 | 12c(ii): Gas Delivered | Current year CY | CY+1 | CY+2 | CY+3 | CY+4 | CY+5 |
| 18 | | 30 Jun 13 | 30 Jun 14 | 30 Jun 15 | 30 Jun 16 | 30 Jun 17 | 30 Jun 18 |
| 57 | | 10,220 4 0F 4 | 1000 4 | 010,0 | 9,993 | | r 000 |
| 0 r c | Maximum any load (b)/ad/ | 4,001 | 4,033 | 4,340 | 4,230 | 040'C | 111 270 |
| 17 | Number of directly billed ICPs (at vear end) | - 0///60T | - | - | - | - | - |
| 23 | Total gas conveyed (GJ/annum) | 1,178,841 | 1,190,630 | 1,202,536 | 1,217,856 | 1,226,698 | 1,238,965 |
| 24 | Average daily delivery (GJ/day) | 3,221 | 3,262 | 3,295 | 3,337 | 3,352 | 3,394 |
| 25 | | | | | | | |
| 26 | Maximum monthly amount of gas entering network (GJ/month) | 109,770 | 110,868 | 111,977 | 113,097 | 114,228 | 115,370 |
| 27 | Load factor | 89.49% | 89.49% | 89.49% | 89.74% | 89.49% | 89.49% |
| | | | | | | | |

| Document No: | Document Name: | Effective from: | 1 July 2012 | Version: 1.1 |
|----------------|--|------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| GNZ-012 | Transitional Asset Management Plan (AMP) | Lifective nom. | 1 July 2013 | Version: 1.1 |
| Responsible Ma | nager: | Approved by Directore | 19 July 2012 | Daga 51 of 61 |
| General Manag | ger | Approved by Directors. | 18 July 2013 | Fage 51 01 01 |

| Limited | ·30 June 2023 | Management Manual (IIMM) | Record/documented Information | The organisation's asset management policy, its organisation as strated pian, occurrents indicating how the asset management policy was based upon the needs of the organisation and evidence of communication. | The organisation's asset management strategy document and other taket organisational policies and strategies. Other than the organisation's strategic plan, there could include those relating to health and stretty, neuvoronmental, etc. Results of stakeholder consultation. | The organisation's documented asset management strategy and suporting working documents. | The organisation's asset management plan(s). |
|--------------|---------------------|--|-------------------------------|--|---|--|--|
| GasNet | 1 July 2013 – | International Infrastructure | Who | Top management. The management team that has overall responsibility for asset management. | Top management. The organisation's strategic planning team. The management team that has overall responsibility for asset management. | when the management, people in the organisation with expert knowledge of the assets, asset types, asset systems and their associated like-optice. The asset management than this vevel the exponsible for developing and adopting methods and processes used in asset management | The management tam with overal responsibility for the essent management system. Operations, maintenance and engineering managers. maintenance and engineering managers. |
| Company Name | AMP Planning Period | Asset Management Standard Applied | Why | Widely used AM practice standards require an Widely used AM practice standards require an its asset management policy (e.g. as required in PAS 55 para 4.2.1). A key pre-requisite of any robust policy is the area and unity support. The Allow vital to the effective implementation of the policy, is to tell the effective implementation of the policy, is to tell the area accorder and fully support. The Allow vital to the effective implementation of the policy, is to tell the policy scored. Allow the area of the policy's corrent. Also, there may be other taskeholders, such as regulatory authorities and shareholders who should be made aware of it. | In setting an organisation's asset management strategy, interportant that is consistent with any other policies and strategies that the organisation has and the state into account the requirements of relevant state lendoless. This question examines to white extent the asset management strategy is consistent with other other asset management strategy is consistent with other the asset management strategy is consistent with other by PAS 55 para 4.3.1 b) and has taken account of by PAS 55 para 4.3.1 b) and has taken account of by PAS 55 para 4.3.1 b) and has taken account of polices, strategies and state holder requirements as polices, strategies and stateholder requirements as covered in dirating the asset management policy but at a greater level of detail. | Good asset steward(b) is the billing of a standard of an organisation compliant, with widely used AM standards. A ley component of this is the need to take and used a statistic statistic asset, asset, the statistic standards as the statistic statistic statistic asset systems. (For example, this question explores what an a statistic has done to take lifecycle into account in the saset management strategy. | The assert mmagement strategy mode to be translated in the partial panels so that all partels how how the objectives will be achieved. The development of the development of panels will need to identify the specific tasks and activities required to optimize costs, risks and activities required to optimize costs, risks and when they are to be carried out and the resources required. |
| | | gement practice. | Evidence—Summary | Gasteet has an Asset Management Policy which was approved by the Wangmond Gas Limited Board prior to darke purcharding the assets in Usangmond Gas Time Board point of the Preview is still current and blaned for review in the last quarter of 2013. All 4 managers that comprise the week in the last quarter of 2013. All 4 managers that comprise the Management Team have attended formal asset management courses. | Whilst Gashet does not have a formal documented AMP Strategy, strategic planning. In the management of management periodions and planning. The annual planning process which is approved by the Board provides activities planning process which is approved by the Board provides activities planning the strategic matters, and are actively involved in the development and strategic matters, and are actively involved in the development and review of all policies and procedures. | Gastlet's personnel and in particular the General Manager, Engineering Manager, and Engineering Supervisor have a wealth of asset knowledge and very much focussed on ensuing they are managed effectively. efficiently and safety throughout their lifeorde. | Gaster has effectively produced a version of a fully compliant Asset Management Pain that whits diluted, nevertheless provides evidence of Gaster's asset management strategies and practices. In the development of its AMP it has become evident that further enhancements in progressing to a fully compliant asset Management Plan will not be progressing to a fully compliant asset Management Plan. the upcoming review of its Asset Management Polloy. |
| | | URITY sset manag | Score | 7 | ~ | 2.5 | 7 |
| | | ISSET MANAGEMENT MAT B ^S self-assessment of the maturity of its a | Question | To what extent has an asset management policy been documented, authorised and communicated? | What has the organisation done to ensure this asset management strategy is management strategy is appropriate organisational policies and strategies, and the needs of stateholders? | In what were the organisation's asset management, strategy take account of the lifecycle of the assets, asset types and asset systems over which the organisation has stewardship? | How does the organisation establish and occument its asset management plan(s) across the life cycle activities of its assets and asset systems? |
| | | .3: REPORT ON A | Function | Asset management policy | Asset management strategy | Asset management strategy | Asset management plan(s) |
| | | SCHEDULE 1 This schedule requi | Question No. | m | 10 | 11 | 26 |

| Document No: | Document Name: | Effective from | 1 1010 2012 | Versien: 1.1 |
|----------------|--|------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| GNZ-012 | Transitional Asset Management Plan (AMP) | Ellective nom. | T July 2013 | version. 1.1 |
| Responsible Ma | nager: | Approved by Directore | 19 101/2012 | Daga 52 of 61 |
| General Manag | ger | Approved by Directors. | 10 July 2013 | Fage 52 01 01 |

| t Limited = 30 June 2023 Management Marual (IIMM) | Record/documented Information | Distribution lists for plan(s). Documents derived from plan(s) which detail the receivers role in plan delivery. Evidence of communication. | The organisation's asset management plan(s). Documentation defining roles and responsibilities of individuals and organisational departments. | The organisation's asset management plan(s). Documented processes and procedures for the delivery of the asset management plan. | The organisation's plan(s) and procedure(s) for dealing with emergencies. The organisation's risk assessments and risk registers. |
|--|-------------------------------|--|---|--|---|
| GasNet 1 July 2013 - International Infrastructure | мм | The management team with overall responsibility for the assert management system. Delivery functions and suppliers. | The management team with overall responsibility for a search management system. Operations, maintenance and engineering managers, appropriate, the performance management team. | The management team with overall responsibility for maintensions and engineering managers, it appropriate, the performance management team. If appropriate, the performance management team. If where appropriate the procurement team and service providers working on the organisation's asser-related activities. | The manager with responsibility for developing energency jain(s). The organisation risk assessment team. People with designated durts with in the plan(s) and procedure(s) for dealing with inddents and emergency situations. |
| Company Name AMP Planning Period Asset Management Standard Applied | vhv | Plans will be ineffective unless they are communicated to all tronse, mounding contracted suppliers and troose who undertake enabling (unction(s). The panel) need to be communicated in a way that is relevant to those who need to use them. | The implementation of asset management plan(s) relies on (1) actions basic denty identified, (2) an owner allocated and (3) that to where having sufficient delegated responsibility and authority to carry out the work required. It also requires alignment of actions across the organisation. This question explores how well the plan(s) set out responsibility for delivery of asset plan actions. | It is essential that the plan(s) are realistic and can be implemented, which requires appropriate recorces to be available and enabling mechanisms in place. This question explores how this is subreved. The appropriate plan(s) in or only need to consider the resources directly required and timescales, but also the enabling activities, including for example, training requirements, supply chain capability and procurement timescales. | Widely used AM practice standards require that an organisation has plantly bio dentifying and respond to emergency situations. Emergency plantly should outline the actions too be taken to respond to specified emergency situations and ensure continuity of critical asset management activities induding the communisation to and ensure continuity of critical agendes. This guestion assesses if, and how well, these plant(s) triggered, implemented and resolved in the event of an indicati. The plantly should be organisation's risk assessment methodology. It is also a requirement that relevant personnel are competent and trained. |
| tuo | Evidence—Summary | Whilst GasNet has only just published its AMP the information contained within will be fowm on house who need to know appordiate to their lotel and/or interest. Due to the small centralised operation thref is and/or interest. Due to the small centralised operation thref are within the company and with a close and effective Management Team, information is effectively communicated to other as required. The General Manager provides appropriately detailed monthyr peorts to the Board of Directors who in turn take an active interest at Board of Directors meetings attended by the General Manager. The Chairman of the Board of is also Chairman of the haterholder visuaganul us stimited. Its aneblader Wangganul District Council Holdings Limited and in view of the later has regular direct utilimate "shareholder. | Responsibilities are clearly defined in Position Descriptions for all GasNet employees and reviewed on an annual basis in compution with the Personal Performance & Development Review (PDR). Documented Policies and Procedures provide more detailed specific responsibilities and a thorough consultation process ensures maximum knowledge and understanding. Due to the small size of the company and the fact that almost every role is unuely the responsibilities are in most instances apparent to the position holder and others. No one else would logically share or take the responsibility. | All Managers have clear responsibilities within their Position Descriptions for the management of resources under their control to their ductata and external, and for meeting the company needs and legislative obligators relevant the noit. The Management remet regularly and have a good understanding of the issues at hand and their management. In the last 4 years during a period of significant regulatory uncertainty additional Intracueurs have been made available to obligatory additional Intracueurs have been have a see uncontrol to employ external support where it would be otherwise uncontrol to employ someone for that task. One new role was created to provide additional administrative support in ivev of the increasing reed for information. Gashet consider it is well paced to manage any resource issues that might are through formalisation and further development of its AMP. | GasNet's Energency Plan is well established and understood within the Company and a core document within to ongins in the any 1990's. Because of the small size of the company, roles that are both dearly defined and understood by all, and a star approval has proven time after the that GasNet is well placed to manage adverse events when they court. Recent enhancements associated with the formalisation of fis public Safety Management bystem and its associated focus on risk and emergency management has further improved GasNet's preparedness. |
| JRITY (c | Score | m | m | 2 | m |
| ASSET MANAGEMENT MATL | Ouestion | It How has the organisation communicated its plan(s) to all relevant parties to a level of detail appropriate to the receiver's role in their delivery? | It How are designated responsibilities for delivery of asset plan actions documented? | <pre>tt What has the organisation done what has the organisation done arrangements are made valiable effective implementation of the plan(s)? (Note this is about resources and enabling support)</pre> | What plan(s) and procedure(s) does the organization have for identifying and responding to incidents and emegency situations and ensuring continuity of critical asset management activities? |
| 13: REPORT ON | Function | Asset managemen plan(s) | Asset managemer plan(s) | Asset managemer plan(s) | Contingency planning |
| SCHEDULE 1 | Ouestion No. | 27 | 62 | 31 | Ř |

| Document No: | Document Name: | Effective from: | 1 100 2012 | Versien: 1.1 |
|----------------|--|------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| GNZ-012 | Transitional Asset Management Plan (AMP) | Enective from. | T July 2013 | version. 1.1 |
| Responsible Ma | nager: | Approved by Directore | 19 July 2012 | Daga 52 of 61 |
| General Manag | ger | Approved by Directors. | 18 July 2013 | Fage 55 01 01 |

| _ | | | | | | | |
|--------------|--|-----------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|---|--|
| Limited | -30 June 2023 Management Manual (IIMM) | | Record/documented Information | delivery of asset management policy, strategy, objectives and plan(s) have been appointed and have saximed their registrations and have the organisation's documents relating to its asset management system, organisational charts, job descriptions of post-holders, annual targets/objectives appropriate appropriate | Endence demonstrain that assess management plan(s) and/or the process(es) for asset management plan implementation consider the provision of adequate or exerces in both the short and long term. Resources include funding, materials, equipment, services provided by third parties and personnel (internal and service provides) with appropriate skills competencies and knowledge. | Endence of such advilues as road shows, written bulletins, workshops, team tails and management walk abouts would assist an organisation to demonstrate it is meeting this requirement of PAS 55. | The organisation is a magements that detail the compliance required of the outsourced activities. For example, this sould form part of a contract or service level agreement between the organisation and the suppliers of its subsourced activities. Evidence that the organisation has demonstrated to itself that it has assurance of compliance of outsourced activities. |
| GasNet | 1 July 2013 – International Infrastructure | | Who | responsibility for the delivery of asset management responsibility for the delivery of asset management policy, strategy, objectives and plan(s). People working on asset-related activities. | nanagement. The management team that has overall responsibility for asset management. Risk management team. The organisation's managers movoled in day-rock arguitation stater-tealed activities, engineers, engineers, foremen and chargehands as appropriate. | overall resonance transmitter than that has overall resons billity for asset management. People involved in the delivery of the asset management requirements. | The management. The management task in that las overall responsibility for asset management. The manage(s) responsible for the monitoring and managerent of the subsuced a divites. People involved with the produrement of outsourced activities. The people within the organisations that are performing the outsourced activities. The people impacted by the outsourced activities. |
| Company Name | AMP Planning Period Asset Management Standard Applied | | Why | asset systems deliver the requirements of the asset management policy, strategy and objectives reponsibilities met to be allocated to appronchate people who have the necessary authority to fulfil their responsibilities. This question, relates to the approached to be allocated to the allocated making it therefore distinct from the requirement contained in para a), s.4.1.0 PAS S5 | to ensure surfacement requires top management to ensure sufficient recources are available. In this context the term 'resources' includes manpower, materials, funding and service provider support. | organisation to communicate the importance of organisation to communicate the importance of meeting its asset management requirements such that the comment in the delivery of the asset management fully engaged in the delivery of the asset management requirements (eg. PAS 55 s 4.4.1 g). | Is asset management activities, the organisation must lis asset management activities, the organisation must ensure that these outsourced process(ei) are under appropriate control to ensure that all the requirements of widely used AM standards (eg. PAS 5) are in place, and the asset management. The organisation must put capabilities and resources across time span algoed to file orde management. The organisation must put activities, whether it has to outsourced activities, whether it has to outsourced what the organisation does in this regind. |
| | | cont) | Evidence—Summary | The 3 section Managers each directly responsible to the General Manager and collectively responsible for delivery of the company's usuiness requirements. Each Section is functionally based with little room for contusion. Roles and responsibilities are clearly understood and pescriptions and delegated authorities are clearly understood and reflected in the managers performance and behaviour. | Castlets: Management Tam is high vict facture at leavilying and manging resourcing issues and meds as they are identifying and paparent. In addition to their management responsibilities for enablagers are operational and work cosely with their direct reprist within the rans small office environment. The Management Team is efficient because of its active participation and size in making changes when necessary or onsure builties requirements are mit. Where the change requires additional resources and/or guidance from the Board during meetings, and has a healtwo working exploration builties for changes in safety and cases to a designated brector ouside of official Beard meetings, and has a healtwo working estimations with the Board during meetings, and has a healtwo working estimation and a number of throasing and changing resourcing necks, particularly when the recent changes in safety and commercial legistion and has made a number of significant resourcing changes. The asset management resourcing necks will continue to be monitored and addressed as necessary. | Each of the 3 section Margars that long with the General Manager make up the Management Team manage a small number of direct reports with whom regular operational meetings, are held. With the relatively remail number of employees assistic could not poparte relatively remail number of employees assistic could not poparte relatively remail number of employees assistic could not be relatively remain and the supercent of employees are required to do and what is experted or others. In addition to the formal and informal communication from their managers, all office based permiloyees operate out of a single open plan office effectively respons them on an aspects of State's business activities both strategic and poperational, with a consequence that there is a good understanding of business systems and processes. | Gastler does not outcource asset management activities, Gastler has, and will continue to seek occasional and co specialist support from termains dearly with the relevant Manager. |
| | | RITY (o | Score | r. | m | m | N/N |
| | | ASSET MANAGEMENT MATU | Question | b) Wash tast the ergensisation done by Wash tast the ergensisation done management team to be responsible for ensuing that the organisation's assts deliver the erguinements of the asset management strategy, objectives and plan(s)? | What evidence can the by granisation's top management provide to demonstrate that sufficient resources are available for asset management? | W To what degree does the so organisation's top management communicate the importance of meeting its asser management requirements? | Where the organisation has to outsourced some of its asset management activities, how has the resured that a appropriate controls are in place to ensure the compliant delivery of its againational strategic plan, and its asset management policy and strategy? |
| | | 3: REPORT ON | Function | Structure, authori and responsibilitie | Structure, authorit and responsibilitie | Structure, authori and responsibilitie | outsourcing of asset managemen activities |
| | | SCHEDULE 1 | Question No. | 37 | 6 | 4 | 8 |

| Document No: | Document Name: | Effective from | 1 1.00 2012 | Versien: 1.1 |
|----------------|--|------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| GNZ-012 | Transitional Asset Management Plan (AMP) | Ellective from. | 1 July 2013 | version. 1.1 |
| Responsible Ma | nager: | Approved by Directore | 19 July 2012 | Daga 54 of 61 |
| General Manag | ger | Approved by Directors. | 10 July 2013 | Fage 54 01 01 |

| | |] [| | | - E | <pre>ces</pre> | s. of |
|-----------------------|--|-----------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| t Limited | – 30 June 2023 e Management Manual (IIMM) | | Record/documented Information | documented information describing the main elements of the asset management system (processies)) and their interaction. | to determine what its saset information has emblow to determine what its asset information system sho contrain in order to support its asset management system. Evidence that this has been effectively implemented. | The asset management information system, togethe with the policies, procedure(s), improvement initiat and audits regarding information controls. | The documented process the organisation employs extern is asset management information system and with its asset management requirements. Minutes information systems review meetings involving user |
| GasNe 1 July 2013 | 1 July 2013 International Infrastructure | | Who | The management team that this overall responsibility for asset management. Managers engaged in asset management activities. | management team that this overall responsibility for management team that this overall responsibility for asser management. Information management team. Operations, maintenance and engineering managers Operations, maintenance and engineering managers | The management team that has overall responsibility for asset management. Users of the organisational information systems. | The organisation's strategic planning team. The angement team that has vour leasponsibility for asset management. Information management team. Users of the organisational information systems. |
| Company Name | AMP Planning Period Asset Management Standard Applied | , | Why | WideV used AM practice standards require an organisation maintain up to date documentation that restures that its asser management systems (le, the systems that organisation has in place to meet the systems that organisation has in place to meet the standards) can be understood, communicated and operated. (eg. s 4.5 of PAS 55 requires the mainteement system requirements specified throughout s 4 of PAS 55). | Effective asset management requires appropriate filterination to be available. Widely used AM is adradia therefore require the organisation it requires to identify the asset management is fundamoin it requires it no order to support it a saset management system. Some of the information required may be held by suppliers. The management information system is a poorly management information system is a poorly understood specialist activity hat is akin to fi management information system is a poorly understood specialist activity hat is akin to fi management information or technology, people understood specialist activity hat is akin to fi management information of technology, people and process(es) that create, secure, make available and destroy the information required to support the asset management system. | The response to the questions is progressive. A higher is scale cannot be awarded without achieving the requirements of the lower scale. This question explores how the organisation ensures that information management meets widely used AM practice requirements (eg. s 4.4.6 (a), (c) and (d) of PAS 55). | Widely used AM standards need not be prescriptive about the form of the asset management information system. But simply require that the asset management information system is appropriate to the organisations information with its consistent and of the requisite quality and accuracy. |
| | | (cont) | Evidence—Summary | Gasket has an extension remge of domentation to support its asset amagement, such as policies, procedures and plans integrated with its risk management, public and workplace safety management systems. The review of the existing scass management Plan reference to in the AMP and its alignment with the regulatory requirements under the IDD will provide the catalyst for the identification of any gaps in the existing systems and documentation and formalisation of the asset management system. | Gastle iterative (it) seeks through a review conducted the 2013 and cuminating in the adoption of a project plan by the Management Team. Produced in MS Project the planmer covered both the requirements to develop a fully complexing the planmer covered both the requirements to a develop a fully complexing the planmer covered both the public Safety Management System (FSMS). The needs for the AMP were based on guidance from the MAMS international Infrastructure Management Implementation of the review at Section Manager. Implementation of the review at Section Manager. Implementation of the review at Section Manager. Management Bas been completed to anying degrees with resources more planming thas been completed to anying degrees with resources more Management Ban were unsue whether it would be in a position to prepare a fully compliant Asset Management Plan or elect to adopt the transitional option. | Within the last year GasNet has introduced a robust document management system rounbined with registers providing a record of documents held and their status. GasNet has identified its GS. MIDBS and RernMobile applications as its core asset information systems and with access limited to round it elwepresonme with the authority to change and update data, the relarce is on the competency of the persons making those changes to maintain quality. With its inscessing use and dependency on electronic based data GasNet has recognised the need to get and maintain restandist in data management and quality and in conjunction with a business system process review being undertaken at the time of preparing this document, plans to introduce systems to check data accuracy. | Following the review undertaken in 2012 referred to in qu 62 above, Gaster freatified gas in its information systems all of which are considered manageable and achievable and assigned responsibilities to the relevant Section Manager, |
| | | RITY (| Score | 2 | 0 | 1.5 | 2 |
| ASSET MANAGEMENT MATU | | ASSET MANAGEMENT MATU | Question | t organisation has the torganisation established to describe the main elements of its asset management system and interactions between them? | to determine what its asset to determine what its asset management information management is support its asset management system? | How does the organisation match in tracest management information system(s) and ensure that the data held within ensure that the data held within them) is of the requisite quality and accuracy and is consistent? | How has the organisation's secured its asset management information system is relevant to Its needs? |
| | | 13: REPORT ON / | Function | Asset Management System documentation | management management | Information management | Information management |
| | | SCHEDULE | Question No. | 55 | 62 | 8 | 3 |

| Document No: | Document Name: | Effective from: | 1 July 2013 | Version: 1.1 |
|----------------|--|-----------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| GNZ-012 | Transitional Asset Management Plan (AMP) | | . 00.9 2010 | |
| Responsible Ma | nager: | American de las Dimensiones | 40 July 0040 | Dawa 55 at 64 |
| General Manag | ger | Approved by Directors: | 18 July 2013 | Page 55 of 61 |

| | | | nd/or e(s) that nted tings. s). | able sk | is 1 | are ent ation, |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------|---|---|---|--|
| t Limited | – 30 June 2023 Management Manual (IIMM) | Record/documented Information | The organisation's six management famework a evidence of specific process(se) and/or procedure that deal with risk control mechanisms. Evidence the procession and maintained. Evidence of agendas and maintained. Evidence of agendas and maintaine from risk management mee Evidence of feedback in to process(se) and/or Risk registers and assessments. | The organizations risk management framework. I organisation's resourcing paints and wind and training and competency plan(s). The organisation should be to demonstrate appropriate inhages between the content of resource plan(s) of the nisk content of resource plan(s) of the nisk assessments and control measures that thave been developed. | The organizational processes and procedures for ensuring information of this type is identified, an accessible to those requiring the information and incorporated into asset management strategy an objectives | Decumented processies and procedure (s) which relevant to demonstrain gate effective ananger and control of file year activities durative acquisition, enhancement, including design, commissioning, |
| GasNe | 1 July 2013 International Infrastructure | Who | The top management tean in contruction with the organisation's serior risk management representatives. There may also be input from the organisation's safet. Health and Environment team. Saff who carry out risk identification and assessment. | Staff responsible for rick assessment and those and provide reactions and angrounding resource and training plants). There may also be input from the organisation's Safety, Health and Environment team. | The organisations legal team or advisors regulatory team. The organisations legal team or advisors. The organisation's health management team with overall responsibility for the ansate management system. The organisation's policy astery team or advisors. The organisation's policy making team. | Asser managers, design staff, construction staff and project manages from other impacted areas of the business, e.g. Prourement |
| Company Name | AMP Planning Period Asset Management Standard Applied | Why | prostrive asset management. Its overall purpose is to prostrive asset management. Its overall purpose is to understand the cause, effect and likelihood of advesse acceptable level, and to provide an auditual for the management of tisk. Widely used standards require the organisation to have process(es) and/or procedure(s) in place that set out flow the organisation procedure(s) in place that set out flow the againstan procedure(s) in places that set out show the advess the four phases of the asset lifecycle (eg, para 4.33 of pAS SS). | Wildey used AM standards require that the output windley used AM standards require that that adequate resource (including is and fraining is identified to match the requirements. It is a further requirement that the reflects of the corron measures are considered, as there may be implications in resources and training required to achieve other objectives. | regulatory, start organisation to comply with its legal, regulatory, start organisation for some management requirements, the organisation first needs to ensure it knows with they are (gg, SSS 5 sporties this in 5.4.4.8). It is necessary to have systematic and auditable mechanisms in place to identify new and auditable mechanisms in place to identify new and along requirements. Widely weated MM standards also require that requirements are incorporated into the asset management system (e.g. procedure(s) and process(es)) | asset management plan(s) is they are the "doing" asset management plan(s) is, they are the "doing" phase. They need to be done effectively and well in order for asset management to have any practical meaning. As a consequence, widely used standards (eg. PAS 55 s.4.5.1) require organisations to have in implementation of asset management plan(s) and control of filecycle activities. This question explores those aspects relevant to asset creation. |
| | cont) | Evidence—Summary | Gastlet has developed a comprehension fisk now more its Risk Management Policy which addresses all forms of risk to which the company is or may be exposed. Whilst the recent focus was on ansisying the requirements of the Polici Safety Management Lysten and attaining the requirements of the Polici Safety Nanagement Lysten and attaining the required audit certification, the approach taken encompased both the required and tertification. Thus the abconvediged that with the focus at the time on safety it is necessary to ensure that the risk's from a purely asset management policy and Strategy have been managed. Accondingly it is planned to undertake a review of the risks in approved and implemented. | Given the safety considerations inherent in a natural gas infrastructure business, the management of risk has become naturally embedded within GasNet's business processes and activities. With dose alignment to the hazard and risk management processes required under the workpiose health and risk management processes required under the dentified in conjunction with health and safety related risk, the focus on managing asset risk information is not new to GasNet or its employees. It is actionweiged however that by formalising its asset management system and practices and with specific consideration to asset related risk that gaps will be identified. | Ar required under its prompenensive compliance policy, GasNeth has published internally a comprehensive legislation register applying across plusiness interest of the company, accessible to all employees valits intranct. The register provides the practice and guidelines, with active acts, regulations, standards, codes of practice and guidelines, with active links to documents where they are available on the web. In addition GasVet is a member of the Gas Association of New 2, and 4, pG GasVet is a member of the Gas Association of New 2, and 4, pG GasVet is a member of the Gas Association of New 2, and 4, pG GasVet is a member of the Gas Association of New 2, and 4, pG GasVet is a member of various organisations with interests in asset members of, a range of various organisations with interests in asset related matters. | Up until 2008 when Gaste Limite to horthase the assets from Waraganu gas Limited, there was less focus on documented policies, procedures and gains due to the low turnover of lexy personnal and the combination of experience and length of service. Since them there has been significant effort made in policy development and documentation of subordinate procedures and plans etc. Whilst there are still elernified gaps in documentation and systems, they will be completed as a matter of documentation and systems, they will be completed as a matter of documentation and systems, they will be completed as a matter of aucres. In recognition at the need and rate of change, GasNeth has introduced a Change Management Policy which formalises the requirements and processes to manage change within the organisation. |
| | JRITY (| Score | 7 | 7 | m | Ν |
| | ISSET MANAGEMENT MATI | Question | How has the process(es) and/or documented process(es) and/or procedure(s) for the identification and assessment of asset and asset management asset life cycle? | How does the organisation ensure that the results of risk assessments provide input into the identification of a dequate resources and training and competency needs? | What proceed does the organisation have to identify and organisation have to identify and provide access to its legal, regulatory statucy and other asset management system? the asset management system? | How does the organisation establish implement and maintain procession for the implementation of its asset implementation of its asset acquisition or enhancement of activities across the readon, nodification, procurement, construction and commissioning activities? |
| | 3: REPORT ON A | Function | Risk management process(es) | Use and antrenance of asser risk information | Legal and other requirements | Life Cycle Activities |
| | SCHEDULE 1 | Question No. | 8 | £ | 88 | 8 |

| Document No: | Document Name: | Effective from: | 1 July 2013 | Version: 1.1 |
|----------------|--|------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| GNZ-012 | Transitional Asset Management Plan (AMP) | | | |
| Responsible Ma | nager: | Approved by Directore | 19 July 2012 | Daga 56 of 61 |
| General Manag | ger | Approved by Directors. | 18 July 2013 | Fage 50 01 01 |

| t Limited – 30 June 2023 | e Management Manual (IIMM) | | Record/documented Information | Documented procedure for review. Documented procedure for autor process due two: Records of previous audits, improvement actions and documented previous audits, improvement actions and documented confirmation that actions have been carried out. | Eurotional policy and/or strategy documents for burformance or condition monitoring and measurement. The organisation's performance monitoring frameworks, balanced corrected etc. etc. The organisation is performance widence of the reviews of any appropriate performance and condition information. Evidence of from these reviews. Reports and the analysis using performance and condition information the use or performance and condition state performance and condition information the use or performance from these reviews. Reports and plan(s). management strategy, objectives and plan(s). | Process(es) and procedure(s) for the handling, investigation and mitigation of assure trade at failures, indefines and mergenovs futuations and non conformances. Documentation of assigned conformances. Multimeter and authority to employees. Job presponsibilities and authority to employees. Job rescriptions, Audit reports. Common commutation systems i.e. all Job bescriptions on Internet etc. | The organisation's actertated and in procedure(s). The organisation's methodology(s) by which it determined the scope and frequency of the audits and the citref any which it identified the appropriate audit presonnet. Audit schedules, reports act. Evidence of the procedure(s) by which the audit results are presented, together with any subsequent communications. The risk assessment schedule or risk registers. |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|--|---|
| GasNei 1 July 2013 - | International Infrastructure | | Who | Asset managers, operations managers, maintenance managers and project managers from other impacted areas of the business | the endor cross section of the penet movied in the organisation's assertation of the penet penet and a triput to decision-makers, i.e. an end-to end assertment. This should include contractors and other relevant third parties as appropriate. | The organisation's safety and environment magnetize transmitting to verall responsibility for the management of the assets, reports who have appointed roles within the assets related investigation to resolve. From those who carry out the investigations to senor management who out the investigations to senor management who responsible for managing the asset base und er fault conclutions and maintaining services to consumers. Contractors and other third parties as appropriate. | The management ream responsible for fit asset management procedure(s). The team with overall responsibility for the management of the asset. Audit responsibility for the management management. For earning Intertor, People with Director, Engi neering Director, People with responsibility for carrying out risk assessments |
| Company Name AMP Plannina Period | Asset Management Standard Applied | | Why | Baving documented process(es) which ensure the asset many specified conditions, in a manner consistent with any specified conditions, in a manner consistent with the asset management policy, strategy and asset management policy, strategy and system performance are appropriately, controlled is critical. They are an essential part of turning intention into action (eg, as required by PAS 55 s 4.5.1). | Which used MAI standards require the rogmitations establish implement and maintain procedure(s) to monitor and measure the performance and/or not requirements in some detail for treatwoe and out requirements in some detail for treatwoe and protocher monitoring, and leading/logging performance indicatos together with the monitoring or results to provide input to corrective and continual indicators and condition mater performance and condition moti provide input to improving asset management strategy, objectives and plan(s). | Widely used Ahr standards require that the working the standards require that the process(es) for the handling and investigation of fallures inderts and non-conformites for assets and fallures inderts and non-conformites for assets and sets down a number of expectations. Specifically this question examines the requirement to define dearly question examines the requirement to refer dearly communicate these unauborities of appropriate. | this question seeks to explore which the organisation has done to comply with the standard practice AM audit requirements (eg. the associated requirements of PAS 55 s 4.6.4 and its Inleages to s 4.7). |
| | | (cont) | Evidence—Summary | GasNet has operated comprehensive asset maintenance regimes for a number of versix, synthy based on forth interval methanise maintenance. Over time and with the recent introduction of risk based maintenance. Over time and with the recent introduction of risk based maintegenet under the gas safety and measurement regulations, GasNet has modified is parates to reflect the risk ponile the assets or their operation. With its small number of employees and the close working environment within which its employees operate, GasNet is well placed to implement change and take appropriate corrective adroin f an advess event or incident should occur. There is little growth opportunities for GasNet within its existing footprint so the creation of new assets are typically associated with asset renewals, with a focus on the pre-natural gs or 1.cw Pressure assets. | Gastlet has a number of lagging performate measure that are collected for management purposes from which a sub-set is reported on a monthy bin management purposes from which a sub-set is reported on a monthy basis to the Board of Directors in the form of a dashboard report. A number of these measures have been number of many basis with the scale of GastVet's operation, their awareness of the business activities and the operation nature of most managerize the state the Company, induding the General Manager, it is often the case that the the Company, induding the General Manager, it is often the case that the the Company, induding the General Manager, it is often the case that the whils it may be well brown and understood within the Company, unless it is reported by way of a publicly vabilities. Not would along and lagging RPI's of its own selection in future AMPs, but would alone welcame the introduction of gas industry standard measures providing the the introduction of gas industry standard measures providing the the introduction of gas industry standard measures providing the the introduction of gas industry standard measures providing the interpretioned of gas industry standard measures providing the the introduction of gas industry standard measures providing the interpretioned of gas industry stan | All incidents that occur on the gas networks are recorded nour fisk. Manage software application and investigated. The effort and extent to which an incident is investigated depends entirely on the type and nature of the event. Two managers have received formal training in incident investigation incident per Engineering Manager who undertakes most investigation incident per Engineering Manager who undertakes investigation risk enter have been a few occasions where a neternal investigation risk energines that and/or the net of event typically to the complex nature of the indicent and/or the and/or the priprially to the complex nature of the indicent and/or the and/or the intertion of the indicent and/or the nord or ording this so tossift with its employees on its draft Corrective and Preventative Actions Policy which address is sues on non-combit mance and promotes the practice of continual improvement. | Gaster is very much anner of the behalter of subjecting its systems and processes to an audit regime, whether it be internal or external corresters to an audit regime, whether it is also very aware that in an environment where there is increasing sepectatoric rom multiple attachediders the audit must be well managed to ensure it achieves the desired outcomes both in terms of integrity and cost. With the recent desired outcomes both in terms of integrity and cost. With the recent desired outcomes both in terms of integrity and cost. With the recent desired outcomes both is audit plan but has not yet focussed on the audit recentements is it as asset management system (SNSI) Gaster is a sourt councement to its audit plan but has not yet focussed on the audit requirements of its asset management policy and Strategy documents have been reviewed and the formal asset management framework is in place. |
| | |) KITY (| Score | 2 | FI | m | 1.5 |
| ASSET MANAGEMENT MATL | | ASSET MANAGEMENT MATI | Question | b How does the organisation procedure(s) for the implementation of asset implementation of asset analgement plan(s) and control of activities during maintenance (and inspection) of assets are carried out under specified conditions, are consistent with asset management strategy and control loost, risk and performance? | How does the performance and measure the performance and condition of its assets? | enve does the ergiganisation envue responsibility and the authority for the handing investigation and mitigation of assert-diated failures, incidents and enregency stuadors and ono conformances is clear, unambiguous, understood and communicated? | to establish that has the organisation done to establish procedure(s) for the audit of its asset management system (process(es))? |
| | | (3: REPORT ON | Function | Life Cycle Activities | Performance and condition monitoring | Investigation of asser-related failures, incidents and nonconformities | Audit |
| | | SCHEDULE 1 | Question No. | 16 | 56 | 66 | 105 |

| Document No: | Document Name: | Effective from: | 1 July 2013 | Version: 1.1 |
|---------------------------------|----------------|------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| Responsible Ma General Manag | nager: jer | Approved by Directors: | 18 July 2013 | Page 57 of 61 |

| | | _ | | | |
|--------------|---|--------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Limited | Management Manual (IIMM) | Record /documented Information | Analysis records, meeting notes and minutes, and offication records. Asset management plan(s), investigation reports, audit reports, improvement programmes and projects. Recorded changes to asset management procedure(s) and process(es). Condition and performance reviews. Maintenance reviews | Records showing systematic exploration of meconds showing systematic exploration of explored and melvector for weatingues being explored and melves in the construction protocycleoniques and available information. Evidence of working parties and research. | benchmarking and participation inonledge exchange benchmarking and participation inonledge exchange professional forums. Evidence of correspondence intermentation and evaluation of new roots, and techniques linked to asset management strategy and objectives. |
| GasNet | International Infrastructure | 앢₩ | The management tream responsible for its asset management trocked resist. The management of the assets. Audio responsibility for the management of the assets. Audio and incident investigation teams. Staff responsible for planning and managing corrective and preventive actions. | The top management of the organisation. The management of the organisation and age (Hean reasonable for manager tesponsible for organisation asset manager responsible for continual improvement. Managers responsible for policy development and implementation. | The continuation of the organization. The manager/team responsible for managing the manager/team responsible for managing the organisation's asset management speakement. Paster monitoring for shange', various items that require monitoring for shange', the pool that monitoring for the organisation with responsibility for investigating, evaluating, the commending and implementing new tools and techniques, etc. |
| Company Name | Ann Fullining Feriou Asset Management Standard Applied | Why | Having investigated asset related failures, incidents and consequences, an organisation to mitigate their consequences, an organisation to mitigate their consequences, an organisation is required to address root causes. Incident and failure investigations address root causes. Incident and failure investigations are only useful if appropriate actions are taken as a ensure that appropriate actions are taken as a ensure that appropriate actions are taken as a feature that appropriate actions are taken as ensure that appropriate actions are taken as a feature that appropriate actions are taken as a feature that appropriate action are made should a recurrence of the incident happro- valing from preventive or corrective action are made to the asset management system. | Vudiy used AN standards have requirements to evable), implement and maintent and seasing process(es)(procedure(s) for identifying assessing, process(es)(procedure(s) for identifying assessing, proteins and intermenting advection for there is a continual improvement. Specifically there is a requirement to demonstrate continual improvement in requirement to demonstrate continual improvement in assets across the file cycle. This question explores an assets across the file cycle. This question explores an assets across the file cycle. This question explores an availation's capabilities in this area—looking for organisation's capabilities in this area—looking for proteiness and audit (which are explarately examined). | To me important asset of contrust improvements, where an organisation looks beyond its existing boundaries and knowledge base to look at what here include equipment, processifs, look, etc. An include equipment, processifs, look, etc. An include equipment, processifs, look, etc. An standards will be able to demonstrate that it continually seeks to expand its knowledge of all things attendards will be able to demonstrate that it continually seeks to expand its knowledge of all things attendards will be able to of our set and it continually seeks to expand its knowledge of all things attendards will be able to of our set and and capabilities. The organisation will be able to to improve, evaluates them for attability to its own organisation and implements them as appropriate. This question explores an organisation's approach to this advivity. |
| | cont) | Evidence—Summary | At the time of preparing this report GasNet was about to commence consultation process strate dark to corrective and Preventative Actions bolicy which addresses issues on non-conformance and promotes the practice of continual improvement. | The approval and implementation of the Corrective and Preventative Actions Policy will provide the catalyst for formalising the easting partices embedded within GasNet's system and processes. Whilst GasNet has historically promoted and supported the dartification of improvement opportunities (made easter by sase of access for all employees to their Section Manager and the General Manager) there will inevitably have been opportunities missed through the absence of formal systems in place. | Gastet has history of active participation with presons and congreisations external to its own operation and if it identifies a gap in congreisations external to its own operation and if it identifies a adopted the international infrastructure chanagement Amaual (IIMM) witch has provided the primary guidance in establishing its asset management practices and sought advice from experts within the Wanganul District Council when necessary. |
| | RITY (c | Score | 2 | .e. | 2 |
| | ASSET MANAGEMENT MATU | Ouestion | How does the organisation inclugate approvance corrective and/or preventive actions to eliminate or prevent the causes of identified poor performance and non conformance? | How does the organisation active continue improvement in the optimal combination of the optimal combination of performance and condition of assets and asset systems across the whole Ife optie? | How does the organisation seek and acquire knowledge about thew asset management related the honology and pactices, and evaluate their potential benefit to the organisation? |
| | 3: REPORT ON | Function | Preventative actio. | Continual Improvement | Continual Improvement |
| | SCHEDULE 1 | Ouestion No. | 109 | 113 | 115 |

| Document No: | Document Name: | Effective from: | 1 July 2013 | Version: 1.1 |
|----------------|--|-----------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| GNZ-012 | Transitional Asset Management Plan (AMP) | Encouve nom. | 1 July 2013 | |
| Responsible Ma | nager: | American de las Discontenes | 10 1.1.1.0010 | Dama 50 a4 64 |
| General Manag | ger | Approved by Directors: | 18 July 2013 | Page 58 of 61 |

Appendix 3.2 – Schedule 14a: Mandatory Explanatory Notes on Forecast Information

Commentary on difference between nominal & constant price capital expenditure forecasts (Schedule 11a)

1. In the box below, comment on the difference between nominal and constant price capital expenditure for the disclosure year, as disclosed in Schedule 11a.

Box 1: Commentary on difference between nominal and constant price capital expenditure forecasts

The difference between nominal and constant price capital expenditure forecasts is due to forecast indexation being applied, based on the Change in CPI, 2 Index forecasts provided by the Commerce Commission in its Financial Model for the GPB DPP. As the Commissions data set only extended to December 2018 the remaining years were assumed to remain constant at 2%.

| Change in CPI, 2 index |
|------------------------|
| 2.01% |
| 2.21% |
| 2.11% |
| 2.00% |
| 2.00% |
| 2.00% |
| 2.00% |
| 2.00% |
| 2.00% |
| 2.00% |
| |

Commentary on difference between nominal & constant price operational expenditure forecasts (Schedule 11b)

2. In the box below, comment on the difference between nominal and constant price operational expenditure for the disclosure year, as disclosed in Schedule 11b.

Box 2: Commentary on difference between nominal and constant price operational expenditure forecasts

The difference between nominal and constant price operational expenditure forecasts is due to forecast indexation being applied, based on the Change in CPI, 2 Index forecasts provided by the Commerce Commission in its Financial Model for the GPB DPP. As the Commissions data set only extended to December 2018 the remaining years were assumed to remain constant at 2%.

| For Year Ended | Change in CPI, 2 index |
|----------------|------------------------|
| Jun-14 | 2.01% |
| Jun-15 | 2.21% |
| Jun-16 | 2.11% |
| Jun-17 | 2.00% |
| Jun-18 | 2.00% |
| Jun-19 | 2.00% |
| Jun-20 | 2.00% |
| Jun-21 | 2.00% |
| Jun-22 | 2.00% |
| Jun-23 | 2.00% |

| Document No: GNZ-012 | Document Name: Transitional Asset Management Plan (AMP) | Effective from: | 1 July 2013 | Version: 1.1 |
|---------------------------------|--|------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| Responsible Ma General Manag | nager: jer | Approved by Directors: | 18 July 2013 | Page 59 of 61 |

Appendix 3.3 – Schedule 15: Voluntary Explanatory Notes

- 1. This Schedule enable GDBs to provide, should they wish to:
 - 1.1 additional explanatory comment to reports prepared in accordance with clauses 2.3.1, 2.4.21, 2.4.22, 2.5.1, and 2.6.5.
 - 1.2 information on any substantial changes to information disclosed in relation to a prior disclosure year, as a result of final wash-ups.
- 2. Information in this Schedule is not part of the audited disclosure information, and so is not subject to the assurance requirements specified in section 2.8.
- 3. Provide additional explanatory comment in the box below.

Box 1: Voluntary explanatory comment on disclosed information

Schedule 11a Forecast Capital Expenditure

- a) While best endeavours have been made to reconcile capital expenditure data formats to provide best levels of consistency between what GasNet uses and what the Commission requires, GasNet does not currently use the same cost categories as required for the breakdown in this schedule. GasNet will need to implement additional ledger reporting changes to better align with the Commission's reporting descriptors.
- b) 'Cost of financing', 'Value of capital contributions', 'Value of vested assets' and 'Research and development' are all assessed as nil.

Schedule 11b Forecast Operational Expenditure

- a) While best endeavours have been made to reconcile operational expenditure data formats to provide best levels of consistency between what GasNet uses and what the Commission requires, GasNet does not currently use the same cost categories as required for the breakdown in this schedule. GasNet will need to implement additional ledger reporting changes to better align with the Commission's reporting descriptors.
- b) Insurance costs as previously provided to the Commission have been applied to years up to and including CY+3 and then held at the CY+3 value based on forecast information provided previously by our insurer, and shown in Constant Prices.
- c) 'Research and development' expenditure is assessed as nil.

Schedule 12a: Asset Condition

No additional comments.

Schedule 12b: Forecast Utilisation

GasNet is unable to estimate physical capacity of systems in aggregate or that of an individual system due the complexity of the network modelling, lack of operational data and sites throughout each network and the absence of sophisticated modelling software to achieve robust reproducible results.

GasNet has reviewed how it could go about calculating current and thus future utilisation of each network as sought in the Report. GasNet has concluded that while some improved data capture instrumentation is now available on the Wanganui network, and with similar instrumentation to be added to other networks in coming financial years, there is both a lack of sufficient time series of data points and confirmation that all necessary sites are being sampled to enable any sensible estimates to be made at this time. In addition, GasNet is considering evaluation of network modelling software, but critical to its effectiveness is data.

This issue is also referred to under section 10.0 of this AMP.

Schedule 12c: Forecast Demand

- a) GasNet's current consumer types are planned to be dispensed with on completion of the CY+2 year; and a new series of consumer type definitions are planned to apply from CY+3 year.
- b) The formula associated with row 'Average daily delivery (GJ/day)' incorrectly applies Leap Years.

| Document No: GNZ-012 | Document Name: Transitional Asset Management Plan (AMP) | Effective from: | 1 July 2013 | Version: 1.1 |
|---------------------------------|--|------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| Responsible Ma General Manag | nager: jer | Approved by Directors: | 18 July 2013 | Page 60 of 61 |

Appendix 4 – Schedule 17: Certification for Transitional Asset Management Plan

Schedule 17: Certification for Year-beginning Disclosures

Clause 2.9.1

- 1 We, Matthew James Doyle and Harvey George Green, being directors of GasNet Limited certify that, having made all reasonable enquiry, to the best of our knowledge:
 - (a) the following attached information of GasNet Limited prepared for the purposes of clause 2.6.1, 2.6.3(2)(b), 2.6.5(2)1 and 2.12.8(1)(b) of the Gas Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012 in all material respects complies with that determination.
 - (b) The prospective financial or non-financial information included in the attached information has been measured on a basis consistent with regulatory requirements or recognised industry standards.

Dated 18

day of Jucy

2013

Matthew James Doyle

Harvey George Green

| Document No: GNZ-012 | Document Name: Transitional Asset Management Plan (AMP) | Effective from: | 1 July 2013 | Version: 1.1 |
|---|--|------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| Responsible Manager: General Manager | | Approved by Directors: | 18 July 2013 | Page 61 of 61 |